AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

Matthew D. Combes for the degree of Master of Science in Biological Sciences presented on 16 October 2003. Title: Mussel assemblages upstream from three Kansas $2, 4$ reservoirs. Abstract approved: ;:/<::..-../1 *v* (K) *?/dd*

Reservoir construction by damming of rivers has contributed to dramatic declines in species richness and abundance of freshwater mussel assemblages throughout North America. The effects of reservoirs on mussel assemblages within and downstream from the reservoir pool are relatively well known, but few studies have examined effects on upstream mussel assemblages. During summers 1999 and 2000, I surveyed 40 sites in the Marais des Cygnes (n=15), Fall (n=13), and Elk (n=12) rivers in eastern Kansas, upstream from three reservoirs, to examine effects of reservoir inundation on upstream mussel assemblages. I predicted that the present mussel assemblage would be composed of fewer species than the historic assemblage, that the percent of species missing from the historic assemblage would increase nearer the reservoirs, that mussel species richness and abundance would decrease nearer the reservoirs, and that substrate embeddedness and silt in the substrate would increase downstream. I recorded present and historicallyoccurring species plus 10 habitat variables at each site, then used Student's t-test, linear regression, and canonical correspondence analysis to examine decline in species richness in each river, to elucidate trends in species richness, mussel abundance, and habitat values in relation to frequency of reservoir inundation, and to model environmental correlates of assemblage structure. I collected 1367 live mussels of 18 species, and 29 species as weathered valves. In all three rivers, significantly fewer species were present

alive than were present as weathered valves. Live species richness and abundance decreased nearer the reservior in the three rivers, whereas historic species richness was not significantly related to flood frequency in any river. Percent of species missing from the historic assemblage increased nearer the reservoirs, but this trend was significant only in the Marais des Cygnes. Substrate embeddedness and percent of silt in the substrate were not related to flood frequency in any river. Canonical correspondence indicated that Marais des Cygnes sites had a higher percentage of fine substrates than Fall and Elk river sites, and that this river's mussel assemblage was different from those of the Fall and Elk. Siltation caused by reservoir inundation might be an episodic event that does impact species richness and abundance nearer the reservoir, but that is difficult to detect except during inundation events.

MUSSEL ASSEMBLAGES UPSTREAM FROM THREE KANSAS RESERVOIRS

A Thesis

Presented to

The Department of Biological Sciences

EMPORIA STATE UNIVERSITY

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Science

 \sim

by

Matthew D. Combes

16 October 2003

 $\overline{\mathscr{S}}$

Thesis 003 \mathcal{O}

Car 1 R. Soldy

Approved by Major Advisor (Dr. David R. Edds)

Elmer imi

Approved by Committee Member (Dr. Elmer J. Finck)

Approved by Committee Member (Brian K. Obermeyer)

Approved by Department Chair (Dr, Marshall Sundberg)

Approved for the Graduate Council

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Dr. David R. Edds for showing me the way to becoming a better biologist and human being. I thank Jackie DeSanty-Combes for loving me for who I am. I thank my parents and family for continuous support and interest in my education.

I thank Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks' Fisheries and Wildlife Program for funding my project, and Emporia State University's Department of Biological Sciences for logistical support. Special thanks to J. Bills, D. Patton, and D. Porter of KDWP for permission to sample their wildlife areas. I also thank J. DeSanty-Combes, D. Edds, B. Obermeyer, S. Sherraden, and J. Tiemann for assistance with sampling, B. Hopkins and B. Theel for georeferencing assistance, J. Aber for the use of his microsurveying altimeter, B. Flock and J. DeSanty-Combes for assistance with map making, and S. Couch, D. Noble, and K. Whitehead of the United States Army Corps of Engineers for providing access to reservoir elevation data. I thank K. Sexson, D. Blex, J. Bartley, and P. Fillmore for assistance with fiscal administration. Landowners C. Briggs, W. Coffman, R. Copenhaver, and D. Davies graciously provided access to their lands. I especially thank my advisory committee, Dr. David R. Edds, Brian K. Obermeyer, and Dr. Elmer J. Finck for guiding me through my first attempt at being a research biologist.

My thesis is composed of one chapter and is written in the style of the Journal of Freshwater Ecology. Style and formatting instructions can be found on the internet at http://www.jfreshwaterecol.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page number

- Table 1. Key to common names, scientific names, jointplot abbreviations, and Kansas conservation status for mussel species collected live and as weathered valves in the Marais des Cygnes, Fall, and Elk rivers, 1999 and 2000. Abbreviations are given only for those species included in CCA jointplots. (*) indicates species present only as weathered valves. SINC = species in need of conservation.... 16
- Table 2. Distribution of 12 mussel species collected alive and 24 represented by weathered valves at 15 sites in Marais des Cygnes River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (Ml) to downstream (MIS). Numerals indicate number of live individuals found at site.

 $(+)$ = Present as weathered valve, $(-)$ = Not present as weathered valves.........21

- Table 3. Sign of regression coefficient, R^2 , and P-values for regression analysis of habitat variables in three eastern Kansas rivers, 1999 and 2000. Alpha levels Bonferroni-adjusted to $P = 0.005$. MDC = Marais des Cygnes. *indicates significance '" .. , 24
- Table 4. Distribution of 11 mussel species collected alive and 22 represented by weathered valves at 13 sites in Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (FI) to downstream (FI3). Numerals indicate number of live individuals found at site. $(+)$ = Present as weathered valve, $(-)$ = Not present as weathered valves \ldots \ldots

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

Page number

Table 5. Distribution of 14 mussel species collected alive and 23 represented by weathered valves at 12 sites in Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (E1) to downstream (E12). Numerals indicate number of live individuals found at site. $(+)$ = Present as weathered valve, (-) = Not present as weathered valves '" .34

LIST OF FIGURES

Page number

• indicate sites 25

Page number

Figure 8. Regressions for species richness, historic species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage in the Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species richness and mussel abundance regressions were significant (P < 0.05) .29

- Figure 9. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are percent of substrate composed of boulder and mean site width.• indicate sites 31
- Figure 10. Regressions for species richness, historic species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage in the Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species richness and mussel abundance regressions were significant ($P < 0.05$).......35
- Figure 11. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are mean site width and percent of substrate composed of clay, silt, gravel, and cobble. • indicate sites37

LIST OF APPENDICES

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

Page number

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

INTRODUCTION

North America has the greatest diversity of freshwater mussels in the world, with approximately 300 species and subspecies (Williams et aI., 1993; Turgeon et aI., 1998). However, freshwater mussel populations have declined dramatically since Euro-American settlement (Neves, 1993; Strayer and Ralley, 1993; Williams et aI., 1993; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999). Nearly half the species of freshwater mussels in North America are either federally listed, species of concern, or already extinct (Neves, 1993). Over-harvesting of mussels, chemical pollution, channel dredging, sedimentation from land use practices, competition from exotic species, and damming of rivers are proposed causes of the precipitous decline of North America's mussel fauna (Williams et aI., 1993; Neves, 1993, 1997; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999).

Freshwater mussels also have declined in Kansas (Obermeyer et aI., 1997). Historically, Kansas had at least 46 species of mussels (Obermeyer, 2000). However, 7 of these are now listed by the state as endangered, 4 as threatened, 12 as species in need of conservation, and 4 are believed to be extirpated from the state (Obermeyer et aI., 1997; Obermeyer, 2000). The decline of freshwater mussels in Kansas is largely attributed to pollution, increased siltation from agricultural practices, channelization, and reservoir dam construction (Obermeyer et aI., 1997; Obermeyer, 2000).

Reservoir dams affect mussel populations by changing rivers to lakes (Bates, 1962; Negus, 1966; Williams et aI., 1993; Blalock and Sickel, 1996; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999). This change from lotic to lentic habitat can result in anoxic conditions that can suffocate mussels (Bates, 1962; Williams et aI., 1993; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999). Siltation caused by decreased current velocities can bury mussels in the substrate, dilute

food resources, lower productivity of food organisms, foul gills, reduce habitat for juveniles in the interstices of the substrate, and obscure glochidial dispersal mechanisms from potential sight-feeding host species (Bates, 1962; Harmon, 1972; Williams et aI., 1993; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999). The change in habitat can alter composition of the ichthyofauna associated with a mussel assemblage, eliminating or reducing fish populations that serve as hosts for glochidial mussel larvae (Ruhr, 1956; Bates, 1962; Erman, 1973; Neves and Angermeier, 1990; Williams et aI., 1993; Morgan et aI., 1997; Brim Box and Mossa, 1999; Bonner and Wilde, 2000). Reservoir dams hinder mussel recolonization of suitable upstream habitat because host fish species infected with glochidia cannot pass upstream through a dam or through the lentic environment of a reservoir (Smith, 1985; Watters, 1996; Morgan et aI., 1997; Wilde and Ostrand, 1999; Kelner and Sietman, 2000). Dams also have been shown to decimate mussel assemblages in their tailwaters by increasing water level fluctuations, destabilizing substrates, maintaining low water temperatures not conducive to mussel reproduction, and changing the ichthyofauna of the river (Neves and Angermeier, 1990; Poff et al., 1997; Tippit et aI., 1997; Vaughn and Taylor, 1999). Little has been published on the effects of reservoirs on upstream mussel assemblages. Vaughn and Taylor (1999) reported reductions in species richness of mussel assemblages upstream from reservoirs. Watters (1996) and Kelner and Sietman (2000) reported extirpations of mussel species upstream from reservoirs, and suggested inhibition of host fish species' movements as a cause.

Reservoirs in Kansas can have more than 10m difference in elevation between conservation pool and maximum flood pool. This difference in elevation leads to a reach ofriver upstream being inundated periodically with still reservoir water. Areas closer to conservation pool elevation are inundated more frequently than areas closer to maximum flood pool elevation, and thus are likely more prone to the combined negative effects of reservoirs. Mussel assemblages at lower elevations, with reservoir effects, would be expected to have fewer species and lower abundances (Bates, 1962; Parmalee and Hughes, 1993). A decrease in species richness would be opposite that expected for low to medium stream order reaches of natural streams, in which aquatic communities generally show greater diversity downstream (Vannote et aI., 1980).

The goals of my study were to assess the influence of reservoirs on mussel assemblages and habitat characteristics upstream from three Kansas reservoirs. I predicted that the present mussel assemblage would be composed of fewer species than the historic assemblage, that the percent of species missing from the historic assemblage would increase nearer conservation pool of the reservoirs, that mussel species richness and abundance would decrease nearer the reservoirs, and that substrate embeddedness and percent of silt in the substrate would increase nearer the reservoirs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Areas

Melvern Lake, completed in 1970, impounds the $5th$ -order Marais des Cygnes River in Osage County, Kansas (Figure 1), receives runoff from 907 km2, and has a 6 m difference in elevation between flood and conservation pool. Fall River Lake, completed in 1949, impounds the $5th$ -order Fall River in Greenwood County (Figure 1), receives runoff from 1521 km², and has an 11 m difference in elevation between flood and

/

Figure 1. Location of study areas in eastern Kansas.

conservation pool. Elk City Lake, completed in 1966, impounds the $5th$ -order Elk River in Montgomery County (Figure 1), receives runoff from 1648 km², and has a 9 m difference in elevation between flood and conservation pool.

Methods

I obtained historic data on daily pool elevations for Melvern, Fall River, and Elk City reservoirs from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. From these data, I determined the duration of flooding (in days) at any given elevation (Appendix 1) of each river upstream from its respective reservoir. To examine the effect of reservoirs on the mussels of its respective river, I divided each river into three habitat reaches based on the total number of days the reservoir had inundated that section of the river (from the date the reservoir first reached conservation pool to 28 January 2000). The three habitat reaches were: (1) an upstream reach (areas inundated \leq 1% of the total days above conservation pool elevation), (2) a middle reach (areas inundated $> 1\%$ and $< 10\%$ of the total days above conservation pool elevation), and (3) a downstream reach (areas inundated $\geq 10\%$ of the total days above conservation pool elevation). I located boundaries for the habitat reaches with a microsurveying altimeter (Model M-l, American Paulin Systems, Cottonwood, Arizona).

I located four to six sites in each habitat reach, for a total of 15 sites on the Marais des Cygnes River (Figure 2), 13 on the Fall River (Figure 3), and 12 on the Elk River (Figure 4). I chose site locations based on hydrological similarities (e.g., gravel bars and riffles with shallow water), and by selecting locations spaced as evenly as

Figure 2. Location of 15 mussel survey sites in the Marais des Cygnes River, eastern Kansas.

 \sim

Figure 3. Location of 13 mussel survey sites in the Fall River, eastern Kansas.

Figure 4. Location of 12 mussel survey sites in the Elk River, eastern Kansas.

 \mathcal{A}

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

possible within each reach, and landowner permission to trespass. See site summaries in Combes et aI. (2001) for descriptions of all sites.

I surveyed sites from 8 July to 6 September 1999 and 20 May to 26 July 2000. I sampled mussels with timed groping surveys, which have been demonstrated to be an effective and efficient means of estimating the abundance and species richness of freshwater mussel assemblages (Miller and Payne, 1993; Strayer et aI., 1997; Vaughn et al., 1997; Obermeyer, 1998). I began surveying each site at the downstream end of a marked reach, and proceeded upstream in a zigzag pattern from bank to bank. I attempted to search approximately 750 m^2 along a 50 m reach at each site; actual searches varied from 410 to 1277 m² area (\bar{x} = 839.0 m², SD = 239.4) and 30 to 70 m long (\bar{x} = 52.1 m, SD = 10.3 m). Area, length, and time (60 to 186 min, $\bar{x} = 113.0$ min, SD = 31.9) spent searching varied with width of channel, type of substrate, and abundance of mussels. I located mussels by tactile and visual cues, kept them in mesh bags until the end of each survey, then identified, counted, and redistributed them throughout the site.

To estimate the number of species that historically occurred at each site, I searched for weathered shell material along a 300 m reach of shore centered on the live mussel search area for ca. 40 min (\bar{x} = 38.0, range = 20 to 60, SD = 9.4). Time spent searching for weathered valves varied by extent of exposed gravel bar. At seven sites (M4, MIO, MI5, Fll, F12, F13, E3) with little or no exposed gravel bar, I collected weathered valves along with live mussels during the timed groping surveys as well as from any exposed gravel bar present. I identified weathered valves from each site to generate a list of historically occurring species.

I characterized habitat at each site by assessing 10 variables along transects spaced every 10 m (perpendicular to flow) along the site: width, percent substrate composition (seven categories), substrate embeddedness, and substrate compaction. I measured width of each transect, and assessed the other variables at 2 m intervals along each transect. I estimated substrate composition by hand (Bam, 1999), visually estimating percent clay, silt, sand, gravel, cobble, boulder, and bedrock (size categories modified from Platts et aI., 1983). I estimated and coded substrate embeddedness (defined as the layer of silt atop the substrate) as free of silt (1) , a detectable layer of silt (2), moderately covered with silt (3), or heavily silted (4). I estimated substrate compaction by touch and categorized it as loose (1), medium compact (2), very compact (3), or bedrock or claypan (4).

Analysis

I used Student's t-test to compare number of live and historically occurring species at each site and linear regression to examine the effect of reservoir presence on mussel assemblages and habitat variables (SAS, ver. 8e; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). I regressed species richness (number of species found alive), historic species richness (number of species represented by weathered valves), mussel abundance (number of live individuals of all species), percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage, and the 10 habitat variables against elevation of each site. I used elevation as the independent variable because it includes components of both inundation frequency and distance from reservoir. I arcsine square root transformed all species abundances and proportions of substrate to improve normality. I accepted the premise

that linear regression is a robust method that can tolerate some departure from normality (Zar, 1996). Where experiment-wise errors were of concern, I adjusted alpha levels for rejecting null hypotheses with Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989); otherwise, my alpha level was 0.05. I used canonical correspondence analysis (CCA; PC-Ord version 4; MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, Oregon) to model species-environment relationships of mussel assemblages in the three rivers. Species occurring at only one site were excluded from CCA following Gauch (1982) who recommended eliminating rare species that can have undue influence on the ordination. Only environmental correlates with jointplot scores greater than 0.20 are plotted in the ordination diagrams.

RESULTS

Overall

At 40 sites in the Marais des Cygnes, Fall, and Elk rivers, I collected 1367 live mussels. I collected 29 species as weathered valves, but only 18 as live individuals (Table 1). Significantly fewer species were present alive than present as weathered valves ($n = 40$, $t = -19.8$, $P < 0.0001$). All species collected alive also were collected as weathered valves.

Ordination of40 sites, 16 live species, and 10 habitat variables by CCA illustrated species-environment relationships for the three rivers (Figure 5). I excluded *Utterbackia imbecillis* from the ordination because it was found at only one site. Axis 1 (eigenvalue = 0.437 ; 3.2 SD) accounted for 16% of the variance in the species data, and Axis 2 (eigenvalue = 0.249 ; 3.8 SD) accounted for 9%. Both Axis 1 (P = 0.01) and Axis 2 $(P = 0.04)$ were significant based on a 9999 iteration Monte Carlo test. Axis 1 indicated

Table 1. Key to common names, scientific names, jointplot abbreviations, and Kansas conservation status for mussel species collected live and as weathered valves in the Marais des Cygnes, Fall, and Elk rivers, 1999 and 2000. Abbreviations are given only for those species included in CCA jointplots. (*) indicates species present only as weathered valves. $SINC = species$ in need of conservation.

Common name	Scientific name	Abbreviation	Status
threeridge	Amblema plicata	Apli	
western fanshell*	Cyprogenia aberti		Endangered
spike*	Elliptio dilatata		SINC
Wabash pigtoe	Fusconaia flava	Ffla	SINC
plain pocketbook	Lampsilis cardium	Lcar	
Neosho mucket*	Lampsilis rafinesqueana		Endangered
fatmucket*	Lampsilis siliquoidea		SINC
yellow sandshell	Lampsilis teres	Lter	SINC
white heelsplitter	Lasmigona complanata	Lcom	
flutedshell*	Lasmigona costata		Threatened
fragile papershell	Leptodea fragilis	Lfra	
black sandshell*	Ligumia recta		Extirpated ^a
pondmussel	Ligumia subrostrata	Lsub	
threehorn wartyback	Obliquaria reflexa	Oref	
round pigtoe*	Pleurobema sintoxia		SINC
pink heelsplitter	Potamilus alatus	Pala	
pink papershell	Potamilus ohiensis	Pohi	
bleufer	Potamilus purpuratus	Ppur	
Ouachita kidneyshell*	Ptychobranchus occidentalis		Threatened
giant floater	Pyganodon grandis	Pgra	
monkeyface*	Quadrula metanevra		
pimpleback	Quadrula pustulosa	Qpus	
mapleleaf	Quadrula quadrula	Qqua	
creeper	Strophitus undulatus	Sund	SINC
lilliput*	Toxolasma parvus		
pistolgrip	Tritogonia verrucosa	Tver	
fawnsfoot	Truncilla donaciformis	Tdon	SINC
deertoe*	Truncilla truncata		SINC
paper pondshell	Utterbackia imbecillis		

^a Considered extirpated from Kansas until a single live individual was captured in the Marais des Cygnes River in 2002. Angelo, R.T. and M.S. Cringan. 2003. Rediscovery of the black sandshell, *Ligumia recta* (Lamarck, 1919), in Kansas. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 106:111-113.

- Figure 5. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from the Marais des Cygnes, Fall, and Elk rivers, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are substrate embeddedness and percent of substrate composed of silt, sand, and gravel.
	- indicate sites.

an environmental gradient of embeddedness and percent substrate composed of silt and sand, versus gravel (Figure 5). All sites from the Marais des Cygnes River scored highest on this axis, and Fall and Elk river sites scored lowest. Mussel species scoring high on Axis 1 occurred only at Marais des Cygnes sites *(Potamilus alatus, Potamilus ohiensis,* and *Pyganodon grandis*) or were found in far greater abundance there than in the other two rivers *(Lasmigona complanata, Ligumia subrostrata,* and *Truncilla donaciformis).* Species scoring low on Axis 1 occurred only in the Fall and Elk rivers *(Strophitus undulatus, Lampsilis cardium, Fusconaiaflava, Potamilus purpuratus, Lampsilis teres,* and *Quadrula pustulosa).*

Axis 2 suggested a gradient of embeddedness, and percent of substrate composed of silt and gravel versus sand (Figure 5). Two Marais des Cygnes River sites scored high on this axis, but other sites from all three rivers were near the centroid. *Potamilus ohiensis* scored high on this axis, and *P. alatus. T. donaciformis.* and *L. subrostrata* scored low.

The 15 Marais des Cygnes sites formed a discrete polygon in the jointplot separate from Fall and Elk river sites (Figure 5), indicating that Marais des Cygnes Riversites were composed of a higher percentage of fine substrates than the Fall and Elk river sites. This separation is not surprising, as the rivers occur in two different river systems (Marais des Cygnes = Osage/Missouri system, Fall and Elk rivers = Verdigris/Arkansas system) that have had separate geological histories. Because ordination of the data set was dominated by differences between river systems, I conducted separate analyses for each river that more directly examined environmental correlates of their mussel assemblages.

Marais des Cygnes River

In 15 samples from the Marais des Cygnes River, I collected 515 (\bar{x} = 34.3, $SD = 26.8$) live mussels of 12 species ($\bar{x} = 5.3$, $SD = 2.0$) and 24 species ($\bar{x} = 12.3$, $SD = 3.6$) represented by weathered valves (Table 2). Significantly fewer species were present alive than were present as weathered valves ($t = -6.49$, $P < 0.0001$). Species richness (P = 0.03, R^2 = 0.33) and mussel abundance (P = 0.05, R^2 = 0.27) were significantly related to site elevation, and each decreased nearer the reservoir (Figure 6). Percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage also was significantly related to site elevation ($P = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.34$), and increased closer to the reservoir (Figure 6). Historic species richness increased downstream (Figure 6), but was not significantly related to site elevation (P = 0.33, R^2 = 0.07). No habitat variables were significantly related to site elevation (Table 3).

Ordination of 15 sites, 11 species, and 10 habitat variables from the Marais des Cygnes illustrated the species-environment relationship for this river (Figure 7). I did not include *Utterbackia imbecillis* in the ordination because it occurred at only one site. Axis 1 (eigenvalue = 0.473 ; 4.6 SD) accounted for 32% of the total variation in the species data, and Axis 2 (eigenvalue = 0.306 ; 2.1 SD) accounted for 21%. Axis 1 (P = 0.09) was not statistically significant, but Axis 2 ($P = 0.01$) was. Axis 2 indicated a gradient of percent substrate composed of bedrock, embeddedness, and mean site width (Figure 7). *Truncilla donaciformis* and *T. verrucosa* were associated with wider, embedded bedrock sites. *Ligumia subrostrata, P. alatus,* and *P. grandis* were associated with narrower, unembedded sites having little or no bedrock (Figure 7).

Table 2. Distribution of 12 mussel species collected alive and 24 represented by weathered valves at 15 sites in Marais des Cygnes River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (M1) to downstream (M15). Numerals indicate number of live individuals found at site. $(+)$ = Present as weathered valve, $(-)$ = Not present as weathered valves.

Figure 6. Regressions for species richness, historic species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage in the Marais des Cygnes River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing regressions were significant ($P < 0.05$).

 $\ddot{}$

		MDC River			<u>.</u> Fall River			Elk River		
	Sign	R^2	${\bf P}$	Sign	R^2	P	Sign	R^2	P	
width	$+$	0.01	0.68	-	0.28	0.06	\div	0.43	0.02	
%clay	-	0.09	0.27	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.02	0.62	$+$	0.09	0.33	
%silt	$\overline{}$	0.01	0.76	$^{+}$	0.01	0.71	$+$	0.05	0.50	
%sand	$+$	0.01	0.75	$+$	0.05	0.49	۰	0.03	0.09	
%gravel	$+$	0.25	0.06	\div	0.36	0.03	\ddag	0.36	0.04	
%cobble		0.01	0.73	$\overline{}$	0.31	0.05	۰	0.52	0.008	
%boulder	۰	0.01	0.76	\blacksquare	0.25	0.08	$+$	0.02	0.63	
%bedrock	$\tilde{}$	0.02	0.66	\blacksquare	0.21	0.11	٠	0.05	0.51	
compaction	$^{+}$	0.00	0.98	\blacksquare	0.22	0.11	$\overline{}$	0.07	0.40	
embeddedness		0.05	0.40	\pm	0.01	0.83	۰	0.07	0.40	

Table 3. Sign of regression coefficient, R^2 , and P-values for regression analysis of habitat variables in three eastern Kansas rivers, 1999 and 2000. Alpha levels Bonferroni-adjusted to $P = 0.005$. MDC = Marais des Cygnes. *indicates significance.

Figure 7. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from the Marais des Cygnes River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are percent of substrate composed of bedrock, substrate embeddedness, and mean site width. \bullet indicate sites.

Fall River

In 13 samples from Fall River, I collected 468 live individuals ($\bar{x} = 36.0$, SD = 62.0) of 11 mussel species ($\bar{x} = 5.5$, SD = 3.0) and 22 species ($\bar{x} = 11.8$, SD = 3.3) represented by weathered valves (Table 4). Significantly fewer species were present alive than were present as weathered valves ($t = -4.92$, $P \le 0.0004$). Both species richness (P = 0.02, R² = 0.43) and mussel abundance (P = 0.02, R² = 0.40) were significantly related to site elevation, each decreasing nearer the reservoir (Figure 8). Historic richness decreased downstream and percent of species missing increased nearer the reservoir (Figure 8), but neither was significant (historic richness $P = 0.16$, $R^2 = 0.17$; percent missing $P = 0.21$, $R^2 = 0.14$). No habitat variables were significantly related to site elevation (Table 3).

Ordination of 13 sites, 10 species, and 10 habitat variables from Fall River produced Axis 1 (eigenvalue = 0.204 ; 2.3 SD), accounting for 33% of the variation in the species data, and Axis 2 (eigenvalue = 0.118 ; 2.1 SD), accounting for 19%. I excluded *Lampsilis teres* from the ordination as it occurred at only one site. Axis 1 ($P = 0.02$) was statistically significant, but Axis 2 ($P = 0.22$) was not. Axis 1 indicated a gradient of percent of substrate composed of boulder and mean site width (Figure 9). *Strophitus undulatus, Quadrula quadrula,* and Q. *pustulosa* were associated with narrower sites upstream that had little or no boulder. *Leptodea fragilis* and *1. complanata* were associated with wider sites downstream that had a higher percent of substrate with boulder (Figure 9).

Table 4. Distribution of 11 mussel species collected alive and 22 represented by weathered valves at 13 sites in Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (F1) to downstream (F1). Numerals indica $(-)$ = Not present as weathered valves.

Figure 8. Regressions for species richness, historic species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage in the Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species richness and mussel abundance regressions were significant ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 9. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from Fall River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are percent of substrate composed of boulder and mean site width. \bullet indicate sites.

Axis 2

In 12 samples from the Elk River, I collected 384 live individuals (\bar{x} = 32.0, SD = 48.0) of 14 mussel species ($\bar{x} = 6.1$, SD = 2.5) and 23 species ($\bar{x} = 11.7$, SD = 3.1) represented by weathered valves (Table 5). Significantly fewer species were present alive than were present as weathered valves (t = -6.62, P < 0.0001). Species richness (P = 0.03, R^2 =0.41) and mussel abundance (P = 0.05, R^2 = 0.34) were significantly related to site elevation, each decreasing nearer the reservoir (Figure 10). Historic richness decreased downstream and percent of species missing increased nearer the reservoir (Figure 10), but neither was significant (historic richness $P = 0.47$, $R^2 = 0.06$; percent missing P = 0.47, R^2 = 0.05). No habitat variables were significantly related to site elevation (Table 3).

Ordination of 12 sites, 12 species, and 10 habitat variables from the Elk River produced Axis 1 (eigenvalue = 0.316 ; 2.7 SD), accounting for 27% of the variation in the species data, and Axis 2 (eigenvalue = 0.176 ; 1.5 SD), accounting for 15% (Figure 11). I excluded *Ligumia subrostrata* and *T. donaciformis* from the ordination as they occurred at only one site. Axis 1 ($P = 0.04$) was statistically significant, but Axis 2 ($P = 0.97$) was not. Axis 1 indicated a gradient of mean site width and percent of substrate composed of cobble versus percent of substrate composed of gravel, clay, and silt. *Potamilus purpuratus* and *L. fragilis* were associated with wider sites downstream having high percent cobble and low percent gravel, clay, and silt. *Strophitus undulatus,* Q. *pustulosa,* and *L. teres* were associated with upstream narrower sites having substrates composed of high proportions of gravel, clay, and silt, but low cobble (Figure 11).

Table 5. Distribution of 14 mussel species collected alive and 23 represented by weathered valves at 12 sites in Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Sites are arranged from upstream (E1) to downstream (E12). Numerals indica $(-)$ = Not present as weathered valves.

Figure 10. Regressions for species richness, historic species richness, mussel abundance, and percent of species missing from the historic mussel assemblage in the Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species richness and mussel abundance regressions were significant ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 11. CCA axes 1 and 2 jointplot of sites, mussel species, and habitat variables from Elk River, Kansas, 1999 and 2000. Species abbreviations are given in Table 1. Environmental variables are mean site width and percent of substrate composed of clay, silt, gravel, and cobble. \bullet indicate sites.

DISCUSSION

My data demonstrate a downstream decrease in species richness and abundance of mussels in rivers upstream from three Kansas reservoirs. Percent of species missing from the historic assemblage increased nearer the reservoir in all three rivers, although this trend was statistically significant only in the Marais des Cygnes River. In all three rivers, the current mussel assemblage was composed of significantly fewer species than the historic assemblage, a situation seen throughout eastern Kansas (Obermeyer et ai., 1997) and nationwide (Neves, 1993).

I found that 50% (12/24) of historically occurring mussel species were missing from the Marais des Cygnes River, 50% (11/22) from the Fall River, and 39% (9/23) from the Elk River. Obermeyer (1996) sampled mussels throughout the Fall ($n = 12$ sites), Elk (n = 4), Verdigris (n = 14), Neosho (n = 23), Cottonwood (n = 6), and Spring $(n = 7)$ rivers in eastern Kansas in 1993 to 1995. Although the number of historically occurring species missing at his sites was similar in the Elk (54%; 14/26) and Cottonwood (80%; 20/25)rivers, fewer species were missing from most rivers sampled, including the Fall (23%; 7123), Verdigris (24%; 8/25), Neosho (17%; 5/29), and Spring (8%; 2/25), suggesting that the river reaches I sampled upstream from reservoirs might have lost more species than is generally occurring throughout eastern Kansas.

Similar trends of decreased species richness in mussel assemblages have been documented in other rivers affected by reservoirs. In general, many of the original riverine mussel species become extirpated within reservoirs, but a few species tolerant of lentic conditions might colonize or become more abundant (Bates, 1962; Parmalee and Hughes, 1993; Blalock and Sickel, 1996; Howells et ai., 1997). This causes an

assemblage shift, but the net result is still usually much reduced species richness. Some depauperate mussel assemblages might experience no change in species richness from reservoir construction if all are lentic species (Neck, 1989).

Studies of mussel assemblages downstream from reservoirs typically have indicated reduced species richness due to extirpation, with no increase in abundance of formerly rare species (Bates, 1962; Parmalee and Hughes, 1993; Blalock and Sickel, 1996). Vaughn and Taylor (1999) reported that species richness and mussel abundance were least near Pine Creek Reservoir, Oklahoma, dam and increased downstream, and the proportion of species missing from the historic community was highest near the dam and decreased downstream. However, some species might increase in abundance immediately downstream from reservoirs (Miller and Obermeyer, 1997), and species richness might be greatest immediately downstream from dams in rivers that have a string of impoundments in close proximity (Blalock and Sickel, 1996; Watters, 1996).

Upstream from reservoirs, mussel species are usually lost and not replaced with lentic species. Watters (1996) and Kelner and Sietman (2000) presented evidence of mussel species' current distributions ending abruptly at dams upstream from which they had historically occurred, possibly due to the inability of their host fish species to move upstream past the dam. Vaughn and Taylor (1999) sampled Little River, Oklahoma, from its headwaters downstream past Pine Creek Reservoir, and found that species richness and abundance decreased from the headwaters to just upstream of the reservoir's flood pool, indicating that reservoirs also might affect mussel assemblages upstream from reaches they can inundate.

Siltation variables were important in distinguishing between the mussel communities at a broad geographic scale, but in general did not explain mussel ,assemblage structure within each river, Substrate embeddedness was significantly related to canonical axes in the Marais des Cygnes River, but site width and larger substrate particle size categories explained structure of the mussel assemblages in the Fall and Elk rivers. Width could be a surrogate for some unmeasured variable (Gauch, 1982). Additionally, siltation variables were not significantly related to site elevation in any river. The effects of artificial flooding on substrate siltation in impounded rivers might be short-term episodic events (Waters, 1995) and therefore only detectable when a reservoir is pooling water over a normally flowing stream section. During inundation events, the substrate of these three rivers was covered with a layer of silt up to 46 cm deep in areas where pooled waters arrested the normal flow (personal observation). Flow was restored as the pooled waters retreated, and the substrate surface was swept clear of silt. However, the layer of silt can persist up to several months in areas closest to the reservoir (personal observation). This extended smothering might cause the extirpation of sensitive species, but would not be detected at normal flows. I observed two such inundation events during two summers of sampling. In 1999, silt was deposited in a thick (up to 30 cm deep) layer over the substrate of the downstream reach of Fall River as backwater from the reservoir temporarily inundated the river channel. When the backwater receded four days later, the normal flow of the river swept the layer of silt from the substrate. In the Elk River, the downstream reach was inundated for 12 days in 2000. I visited three inundated sites and found that all were heavily silted (30 cm deep).

41

However, most of the silt had been swept from the substrate by the following day when the backwater receded and flows returned to normal.

Although siltation variables were not significantly related to site elevation in any river studied, the trend of downstream decrease in species richness and abundance suggested that some facet of proximity to reservoirs caused extirpation of mussel species sensitive to the altered habitat. Biotic and abiotic environmental parameters other than siltation might also become unfavorable to mussels during inundation events. Food organism populations might decline due to lack of light from deepening of silt laden water (Williams et aI., 1993). Host fish species that some mussel species rely on for reproduction may be driven from a mussel bed during inundation events and likely could not see glochidial dispersal mechanisms if they remained, suggesting that protracted inundation when mussels spawn could diminish or extirpate species (Brim Box and Mossa, 1999). Mussels can smother as oxygen levels become low in the stilled and deepened water over inundated mussel beds. Some combination of these effects of reservoir inundation could cause the observed decline in richness and abundance, but might remain undetectable except during inundation events. Future studies of the effects ofreservoirs on upstream mussel assemblages and habitats should consider the episodic nature of reservoir inundation.

LITERATURE CITED

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 \mathcal{A}^{c} .

Angelo, R.T., and M.S. Cringan. 2003. Rediscovery of the black sandshell, *Ligumia recta* (Lamarck, 1919), in Kansas. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 106: 111-113.

Bain, M.B. 1999. Substrate. Pages 95-103 *in* M.B. Bain and N.J. Stevenson, eds. Aquatic habitat assessment: common methods. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Bates, J.M. 1962. The impact of impoundments on the mussel fauna of Kentucky Reservoir, Tennessee River. American Midland Naturalist 68:232-236.

Blalock, R.N., and J.B. Sickel. 1996. Changes in mussel (Bivalvia:Unionidae) fauna within the Kentucky portion of Lake Barkley since impoundment of the lower Cumberland River. American Malacological Bulletin 13:111-116.

Bonner, T.R., and G.R. Wilde. 2000. Changes in the Canadian River fish assemblage associated with reservoir construction. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 15:189-198.

Brim Box, J., and J. Mossa. 1999. Sediment, land use, and freshwater mussels: prospects and problems. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 18:99-117.

Combes, M.D., B.K. Obermeyer, and D.R. Edds. 2001. Characteristics of mussel populations upstream from three federal reservoirs in Kansas: Melvern, Fall River, and Elk City. Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks, Pratt, Kansas.

Ennan, D.C. 1973. Upstream changes in fish populations following impoundment of Sagehen Creek, California. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 102:626-629. Gauch, H.G., Jr. 1982. Multivariate analysis in community ecology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

Harmon, W.N. 1972. Benthic substrates: their effect on freshwater Mollusca. Ecology 53:271-277.

Howells, R.G., C.M. Mather, and J.A.M. Bergman. 1997. Conservation status of selected freshwater mussels in Texas. Pages 117-128 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Kelner, D.E., and B.E. Sietman. 2000. Relic populations of the ebony shell, *Fusconaia ebena* (Biva1via:Unionidae), in the Upper Mississippi River Drainage. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 15:371-377.

Miller, A.C., and B.S. Payne. 1993. Qualitative versus quantitative sampling to evaluate population and community characteristics at a large-river mussel bed. American Midland Naturalist 130:133-145.

Miller, E.J., and RK. Obenneyer. 1997. Population increase of *Quadrula metanevra* in southeast Kansas. Pages 30-36 *in* K.S. Cummings, AC. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and TJ. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Morgan, A., N.J. Welker, and J.R Layzer. 1997. Feasibility of reintroducing threatened and endangered mussels into Shoal Creek in Alabama and Tennessee. Pages 196-204 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Neck, R.W. 1989. Freshwater bivalves of Lake Arrowhead, Texas: apparent lack of local extirpation following impoundment. The Texas Joumal of Science 41:371-377.

Negus, C. 1966. A quantitative study of growth and production of unionid mussels in the River Thames at Reading. Journal of Animal Ecology 35:513-532.

Neves, R.J. 1993. A state-of-the-unionids address. Pages 1-10 *in* K.S. Cummings, AC. Buchanan, and L.M. Koch, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 12-14 October 1992, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Neves, R.J. 1997. A national strategy for the conservation of native freshwater mussels. Pages 1-11 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Neves, R.J., and P.L. Angermeier. 1990. Habitat alteration and its effects on native fishes in the upper Tennessee River system, east-central U.S.A. Journal of Fish Biology 37(supplement A):45-52.

Obermeyer, B.K. 1996. Unionidae (Bivalvia) of the Arkansas River System of SE Kansas and SW Missouri: species of concern, historical change, commercial harvesting, and sampling methods. M.S. thesis. Emporia State University, Emporia, Kansas.

Obermeyer, B.K. 1998. A comparison of quadrats versus timed snorkel searches for assessing freshwater mussels. American Midland Naturalist 139:331-339.

Obermeyer, B.K. 2000. Recovery plan for four freshwater mussels in southeast Kansas: Neosho mucket *(Lampsilis rafinesqueana),* Ouachita kidneyshell *(Ptychobranchus occidentalis),* rabbitsfoot *(Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica),* and western fanshell *(Cyprogenia aberti).* Kansas Department of Wildlife & Parks, Pratt, Kansas.

Obermeyer, B.K., D.R. Edds, E.J. Miller, and C.W. Prophet. 1997. Range reductions of southeast Kansas unionids. Pages 108-116 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and TJ. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Pannalee, P.W., and M.H. Hughes. 1993. Freshwater mussels (Mollusca: Pelecypoda: Unionidae) of Tellico Lake: twelve years after impoundment of the Little Tennesse River. Annals of the Carnegie Museum 62:81-93.

Platts, W.S., W.F. Megahan, and G.W. Minshall. 1983. Methods for evaluating stream, riparian, and biotic conditions. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report INT-138. Ogden, Utah.

Poff, N.L., J.D. Allan, M.B. Bain, J.R Karr, K.L. Prestegaard, B.D. Richter, RE. Sparks, and J.C. Stromberg. 1997. The natural flow regime. BioScience 47:769-784.

Rice, W.R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223-225.

Ruhr, C.E. 1956. Effects of stream impoundment in Tennessee on the fish populations of tributary streams. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 86:144-157.

Smith, D.G. 1985. Recent range expansion of the freshwater mussel *Anodonta implicata* and its relationship to clupeid fish restoration in the Connecticut River system. Freshwater Invertebrate Biology 4: 105-1 08.

Strayer, D.L., and J. Ralley. 1993. Microhabitat use by an assemblage of stream dwelling unionaceans (Bivalvia), including two rare species of*Alasmidonta.* Journal of the North American Benthological Society 12:247-258.

Strayer, D.L., S. Claypool, and S.J. Sprague. 1997. Assessing unionid populations with quadrats and timed searches. Pages 163-169 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.c. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Tippit, R.N., J.K. Brown, J.F. Sharber, and A.C. Miller. 1997. Modifying Cumberland River System reservoir operations to improve mussel habitat. Pages 229-235 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Missouri River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Turgeon, D.D., J.F. Quinn, Jr., A.E. Bogan, E.V. Coan, F.G. Hochberg, W.G. Lyons, P.M. Mikkelsen, RJ. Neves, C.F.E. Roper, G. Rosenberg, B. Roth, A. Scheltema, F.G. Thompson, M. Vecchione, and J.D. Williams. 1998. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the United States and Canada: mollusks. Second edition. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 26. Bethesda, Maryland.

Vannote, R.L., G.W. Minshall, K.W. Cummins, J.R. Sedell, and C.E. Cushing. 1980. The river continuum concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37:130-137.

Vaughn, C.C., and C.M. Taylor. 1999. Impoundments and the decline of freshwater mussels: a case study of an extinction gradient. Conservation Biology 13:912-920.

Vaughn, C.C., C.M. Taylor, and K.J. Eberhard. 1997. A comparison of the effectiveness oftimed searches vs. quadrat sampling in mussel surveys. Pages 157-162 *in* K.S. Cummings, A.C. Buchanan, C.A. Mayer, and T.J. Naimo, eds. Conservation and management of freshwater mussels II: initiatives for the future. Proceedings of a UMRCC symposium, 16-18 October 1995, St. Louis, Missouri. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois.

Waters, T.F. 1995. Sediment in streams: sources, biological effects, and control. American Fisheries Society Monograph 7, Bethesda, Maryland.

Watters, G.T. 1996. Small dams as barriers to freshwater mussels and their hosts. Biological Conservation 75:79-85.

Wilde, G.R., and K.G. Ostrand. 1999. Changes in the fish assemblage of an intermittent prairie stream upstream from a Texas impoundment. Texas Journal of Science 51 :203-210.

Williams, J.D., M.L. Warren, Jr., K.S. Cummings, J.L. Harris, and R.J. Neves. 1993. Conservation status of freshwater mussels of the United States and Canada. Fisheries 18(9):6-22.

Zar, J.H. 1996. Biostatistical analysis. 3rd edition. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Appendix 1. Latitude, longitude, site elevation, and number of days each site has been inundated (from dam closure to 28 January 2000) for 40 sites in the Marais des CYgnes, Fall, and Elk rivers, eastern Kansas.

I, Matthew D. Combes, hereby submit this thesis to Emporia State University as partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree. I agree that the Library of the University may make it available to use in accordance with its regulations governing materials of this type. I further agree that quoting, photocopying, or other reproduction ofthis document is allowed for private study, scholarship (including teaching) and research purposes of a nonprofit nature. No copying which involves potential financial gain will be allowed without written permission of the author.

matt Combes

 6 January 2004

Date

Mussel assemblages upstream from three Kansas reservoirs Title of Thesis

<u>Journal Community of Graduate</u> Office Staff

 $1 - 13 - 04$ Date Received