AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

	Bernard E.	<u>Sietman</u>		for the	e <u>Ma</u>	<u>ster of</u>	Science
in	Biolo	odà	_ pr	esented	on _	<u>13 Apr</u>	<u>il 1993 </u>
Title:	Ecology	and genetic	s of	freshwa	<u>ter</u>	mussels	<u>in the</u>
<u>Neosho</u>	and Cotton	wood rivers	•				
Abstrac	ct Approved	1: <u>Elmé</u>	<u>n </u>	Fin	cŔ		

A multitude of environmental factors influence the community structure of freshwater mussels. To determine which variables are most important in structuring mussel communities, and what species-environment relationships exist, I quantitatively sampled mussels at eight locations on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers, and measured 20 physical and water chemistry variables. Using mussel abundance and biomass as dependent variables, Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) showed that chemical (total hardness, alkalinity, pH, and total acidity) and stream size (stream width, water depth, and current velocity) variables constituted the main environmental gradient, while substratum formed a secondary gradient. Both CCA ordinations showed consistent species-environment relationships. Quadrula pustulosa, Quadrula guadrula, and Obliquaria reflexa were positioned nearer to the center of the ordination diagrams, suggesting these species may have little environmental preference. Amblema plicata, Leptodea fragilis, Potamilus purpuratus, Tritogonia verrucosa, and Truncilla donaciformis were positioned more toward the

periphery of the ordination diagrams, which suggests more specific environmental preferences. Water chemistry and stream size variables also accounted for the majority of significant correlations to the abundance and biomass of mussel species. Leptodea fragilis and Truncilla donaciformis were positively correlated with basic conditions, while <u>A</u>. <u>plicata</u> and <u>O</u>. <u>reflexa</u> were negatively correlated with basic conditions. <u>Amblema plicata</u> and <u>Elliptio dilatata</u> correlated positively with stream width and water depth. These data should form a basis for studies designed to determine causal factors in the population and community dynamics of freshwater mussels.

Fish are thought to be the main dispersal mechanism of unionid mussels, and thus should influence the amount of gene flow. To test predictions of genetic structure based on dispersal ability, I compared the genetic variability of <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u>, a mussel species that is known to parasitize at least six species of fish, and <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u>, a mussel species that is thought to complete development without parasitism. I collected individuals from six locations on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers, and measured genetic variability at 15 presumptive loci using starch gel electrophoresis. Although few significant differences were observed, <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u> generally exhibited higher polymorphism, allelism, and heterozygosity, while <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u> had higher inbreeding among populations. Populations of <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u> that were closer in proximity were more genetically similar, and genetic distance between Q. reflexa populations decreased farther downstream. There was no apparent geographic logic in similarity among populations of Q. <u>pustulosa</u>. These data give support to the hypothesis that fish affect the genetic structure of unionid mussels. As we increase our knowledge concerning the host species of freshwater mussels, more comparisons between species should be made to gain a better understanding of unionid evolution.

ECOLOGY AND GENETICS OF FRESHWATER MUSSELS IN THE NEOSHO AND COTTONWOOD RIVERS

A Thesis Submitted to the Division of Biological Sciences Emporia State University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Science

> by Bernard E. Sietman May 1993

1, 19.2 5

Elmen I unc.

Maior Advisor

<u>H</u>P Committee Member Approved by

arl

Approved by Committee Member

Approved by Committee Member

Ne

Approved for Major Department

Faye N. Vowell proved for Graduate Council Approv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Great appreciation is given all the people who assisted during this study. Dr. Elmer Finck, Linda Fuselier, Shane Hesting, Mark LaBarge, Charles Marchbanks, Shannon Rothchild, and Brad Simpson volunteered their time and fingertips to dig through a bunch of gravel. From scratch, Roger Ferguson with his amazing ingenuity built the current measuring device and floating sieve that proved invaluable. Dr. Robert Angelo of the Kansas Department of Health and Environment confirmed the identification of the mussels collected and provided water quality data. Many hours of statistical assistance was given by Drs. David Edds, Dwight Moore, and Larry Scott. Many thanks to my committee members Drs. Dwight Moore, Carl Prophet and Rodney Sobieski for their advice throughout the study and helpful criticisms on the finished product. A special thank you to my major advisor Dr. Elmer Finck for his guidance and sharing his wisdom. My gratitude to all the landowners who graciously allowed access to their property. Financial support was given by the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks' Chickadee Checkoff Fund and Emporia State University's Durst Fund. Lastly, a heartfelt thank you to my parents, Clyde and Zexia Sietman, whose financial sacrifice, moral and spiritual guidance, led me through the long haul of completing my graduate degree.

vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
List of Tablesviii
List of Figuresx
List of Appendicesxi
Chapter 1. Community structure of freshwater mussels in
the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers of Eastern
Kansas.
Introductionl
Materials and Methods3
Results
Community Composition8
Community Ordination-Abundance
Community Ordination-Biomass
Discussion
Literature Cited40
Appendices
Chapter 2. Effects of fish hosts on genetic variation in
unionid mussels.
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
Discussion67
Literature Cited

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1

Table Page Species and number of unionid mussels collected in 1. the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers......9 Correlation coefficients between environmental 2. variables and CCA axes.....13 Correlation coefficients between water chemistry 3. variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...14 Correlation coefficients between stream size 4. variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...17 5. Correlation coefficients between substrate variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...21 Correlation coefficients between water chemistry 6. variables and species biomass of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...26 Correlation coefficients between stream size 7. variables and species biomass of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...29

Correlation coefficients between substrate
variables and species biomass of freshwater
mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers...32

Chapter 2

Table

1.	Allele frequencies of <u>Quadrula</u> <u>pustulosa</u> and
	<u>Obliguaria</u> reflexa from the Neosho and Cottonwood
	rivers
2.	Measures of genetic variability in <u>Quadrula</u>
	<u>pustulosa</u> and <u>Obliquaria</u> reflexa from the Neosho
	and Cottonwood rivers63
3.	F-statistics at all polymorphic loci of <u>Quadrula</u>
	<u>pustulosa</u> and <u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u> from the Neosho

.

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1	
Figu	re Page
1.	Location of study sites on the Neosho and
	Cottonwood rivers4
2.	Canonical correspondence analysis ordination
	diagram of axes 1 and 2 using species abundance.12
3.	Canonical correspondence analysis ordination
	diagram of axes 1 and 2 using species biomass24
Chapter 2	
1.	Location of collecting sites on the Neosho and
	Cottonwood rivers
2.	Phenogram of Rogers' (1972) genetic similarity
	among populations of (A) <u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u> and
	(B) <u>Quadrula</u> <u>pustulosa</u> 64

х

LIST OF APPENDICES

Chapter 1

Community structure of freshwater mussels in the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers of Eastern Kansas

INTRODUCTION

Unionid mussels are a diverse and dominant faunal component of benthic communities in many riverine and lacustrine environments. They often comprise a major portion of the macroinvertebrate density or biomass (Mann 1964, Negus 1966, Fisher and Tevesz 1976, James 1985, Tevesz et al. 1985, Miller et al. 1986, James 1987, Hanson et al. 1988a, Nalepa and Gauvin 1988). Although a multitude of environmental variables are thought to influence the population dynamics and community structure of unionids, substratum type (Harman 1972, Stern 1983, Way et al. 1989, Holland-Bartels 1990), current velocity (Cvancara et al. 1966, Stern 1983, Way et al. 1989, Holland-Bartels, 1990), and water depth (Cvancara 1972, Haukioja and Hakala 1974, Hanson et al. 1988b, Huebner et al. 1990) are the most commonly addressed. The effects of substratum type, current velocity, and water depth on habitat selection may vary among species, with some having a wider tolerance than others (Huehner 1987). Unionids in general have broad habitat overlap (Strayer 1981), probably due to morphologic characteristics of the species (Tevesz and McCall 1979), or phenotypic plasticity of shell characteristics that are adapted to the environment (Kat 1982). In any case, it has been difficult to separate variables that most influence

their distribution and abundance, possibly because of variability among sites (Strayer 1981, Way et al. 1989). The overall species richness of unionids would predict habitat specialization, however they are much less habitat specific than marine bivalves (Tevesz and McCall 1979). This has certainly added to the difficulty in showing consistent species-environment relationships.

The potentially large number of factors that influence mussel community structure and the apparent similarity in habitat selection among species requires a conventional analytical method to discern species relationships to important abiotic elements. I used a multivariate approach in my study to 1) determine if substrate, current velocity, and water depth are the most important environmental variables in structuring unionid communities, and 2) determine what species-environment relationships exist for the mussel fauna of the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers in eastern Kansas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

I systematically sampled mussels from 23 July - 20 September 1991 at eight locations on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers in eastern Kansas (Fig. 1, Appendix 1). Using methods modified from Isom and Gooch (1986), I directed line transects perpendicular to the stream at 10 m intervals along the shore, and samples were taken at 5 m intervals along transects until 20 samples were obtained. The first sample point of each transect was staggered from 1 to 5 m from the shore, to evenly sample the nearshore portions of each site. This method was altered at site 7 where sampling was within 15 m from shore because the water became too deep to adequately sample beyond that point. At each sample point a 1 m^2 steel quadrat was dropped and the substrate was searched by hand for mussels. Given that sampling mussels in this manner is biased against smallsized species and juveniles (Van Cleave 1940, Haukioja and Hakala 1974, Miller and Payne 1988, Nalepa and Gauvin 1988), substrate was removed to a depth of 10 - 15 cm, placed in a 1.5 m^2 floating PVC quadrat with 6 mm mesh, and searched for small individuals. Mussels from each quadrat were placed in separate bags for transport back to the laboratory.

Physical and chemical variables were measured at each location. At each sample point I recorded substrate, classified as silt, mud, sand, gravel, rock, or a combination of these (Fisher and Tevesz 1976, Strayer 1981,

Figure 1. Location of study sites on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. Site numbers correspond to those in Appendix 1. State of Kansas (inset).



Tevesz et al. 1985), current velocity, measured with a manometer modified after Welch (1948), depth, temperature, and proximity to shore, classified as midstream or quarter nearest either shore. At each site I recorded stream width, and collected water samples to measure turbidity, dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, total hardness, acidity, nitrate, phosphate, and sulfate using a Hach water chemistry kit. I measured all water chemistry variables in the laboratory except dissolved oxygen and pH which were recorded on location at each site.

In the laboratory I cleaned individual mussels with a brush to remove external periphyton. Soft tissue was removed, blotted with paper towel, and weighed to the nearest 0.1 g. To estimate individual dry mass, an additional 50 individuals representing nine species were collected separately. These animals were processed as above, placed on preweighed aluminum foil, and dried for 96hr in a non-convection oven at 60°C. These wet mass / dry mass data were used to formulate the regression equation, dry mass = -0.1007 + 0.2153(wet mass), in which dry mass could be predicted for all individuals.

Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was used to create a community ordination of correlations between species abundance and biomass and environmental data using CANOCO (Ter Braak 1988). This statistical procedure is a direct gradient analysis that produces CCA axes which are

linear combinations of environmental variables. The resulting species scores are parameters of response curves of species with respect to the ordination axis (Ter Braak 1988). Therefore, this method produces a picture of community structure in which sites, species, and environmental variables are represented and shows speciesenvironment relationships. Sites and species are represented as points on the ordination diagram. For better display of the environmental gradient, and easier interpretation of the ordination diagram, sites were designated as Cottonwood River (sites 2 and 3), upper Neosho River (sites 1 and 4), and lower Neosho River (sites 5, 6, 7, and 8). Environmental variables in the ordination diagram are represented by arrows, which point toward the direction of the steepest increase of that variable, i.e., higher pH in the direction the arrow is pointing, lower pH in the opposite direction. The length of the arrows indicates the magnitude of correlations along the gradient, i.e., the higher the correlation of an environmental variable to the CCA axes, the longer the arrow. Eigenvalues are a measure of between site variability or separation of species distributions along the environmental axes, thus, high eigenvalues represent long environmental gradients.

Of the 21 species of mussels collected 13 occurred in < 5% of the samples (1 $m^2 = 1$ sample) and thus were eliminated from the analysis, because rare species, which are perceived

as outliers, may obscure the analysis of the overall data (Gauch 1982, Edds 1993). Significance of the overall ordination and individual axes were tested using a Monte Carlo permutation test. I also tested the relationship between species and environmental data using Pearson's product moment correlation analysis. Colinearity was detected for nitrate, sulfate and phosphate and are not discussed. Sequential Bonferoni adjustment (Rice 1989) was used to determine levels of significance of correlation coefficients.

RESULTS

Community Composition

A total of 315 individuals representing 21 species of unionid mussels was collected in 160 m² of sampling (Table 1). Mussels were found in 85% of the quadrats sampled. <u>Quadrula pustulosa, Quadrula quadrula, Obliquaria reflexa,</u> <u>Leptodea fragilis, and Amblema plicata</u> made up 71% of the total fauna. Four species, <u>Truncilla donaciformis</u>, <u>Potamilus purpuratus</u>, <u>Elliptio dilatata</u>, and <u>Tritoqonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>, composed between 3 - 6%, while the remaining 12 species accounted for 13% of the total fauna.

Mean density of mussels was 2 m⁻² (range 0 - 8) and mean shell free biomass was 16.4 g m⁻² (range 0 - 87.4 g). Species occurrence, density, and biomass were variable from site to site (Appendices 2 and 3). <u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>, Q. <u>quadrula</u>, and <u>L. fragilis</u> were the only species found at all sites, and 38% of the species were found at only one site. Seven species, <u>Amblema plicata</u>, <u>E. dilatata</u>, <u>L. fragilis</u>, <u>Q. reflexa</u>, <u>Q. pustulosa</u>, <u>Q. quadrula</u>, and <u>T. donaciformis</u>, had the highest density at one or more sites (Appendix 2). Only five species, <u>A. plicata</u>, <u>Lasmiqona complanata</u>, <u>L. fragilis</u>, <u>Q. pustulosa</u>, and <u>T. verrucosa</u> had the highest biomass at one or more sites (Appendix 3).

Community Ordination--Abundance

The overall community ordination and CCA axis 1 were both statistically significant (Monte Carlo test P < 0.01). Table 1. Species^a and number of unionid mussels collected in the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers.

Scientific name	Common name	Number collected
Amblema plicata	three ridge	36
<u>Anodonta</u> grandis	giant floater	1
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>	butterfly	2
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	spike	11
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	Wabash pigtoe	4
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	pocketbook	6
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	yellow sandshell	1
<u>Lasmigona</u> <u>complanata</u>	white heelsplitter	7
<u>Leptodea</u> <u>fragilis</u>	fragile papershell	44
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	threehorn wartyback	44

^aScientific and common names follow Turgeon et al. (1988)

Table 1. Continued.

Scientific name	Common name	Number collected
Pleurobema coccineum	round pigtoe	2
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>ohiensis</u>	pink papershell	1
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>purpuratus</u>	bleufer	11
<u>Quadrula metanevra</u>	monkeyface	9
<u>Quadrula nodulata</u>	wartyback	7
<u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>	pimpleback	55
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>guadrula</u>	mapleleaf	44
<u>Strophitus</u> <u>undulatus</u>	squawfoot	1
<u>Tritoqonia verrucosa</u>	pistolgrip	10
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>donaciformis</u>	fawnsfoot	18
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	deertoe	1

The first four axes had eigenvalues of 0.43, 0.22, 0.19, and 0.12 respectively, and accounted for approximately 82% of the variability in species distributions along the measured variables. CCA axes 1 and 2 explained 37% and 19% of the variation, respectively. CCA axis 1 had a gradient length of 3.96 standard deviations and represents a water chemistry - stream size gradient (Fig. 2). Environmental variables that were significantly correlated with CCA 1 were dissolved oxygen, pH, total acidity, alkalinity, total hardness, current velocity, stream type, and water depth (Table 2). Species that scored highest on CCA 1 were T. donaciformis, T. verrucosa, and L. fragilis, which were species most abundant in the Cottonwood River, and P. purpuratus, and A. plicata, which were species most abundant in the lower Neosho River (Fig. 2). Water chemistry and stream size variables also accounted for most of the significant correlations between individual species abundance and environmental variables (Tables 3 and 4). Abundance of L. fragilis and T. donaciformis was positively correlated with more basic conditions, while A. plicata and O. reflexa were negatively correlated with more basic conditions (Table 3). The abundance of A. plicata and E. dilatata was positively correlated with stream width and water depth (Table 4). CCA axis 2 was also significant (P < 0.05) and represents a substrate gradient (4.15 SD) (Fig. 2) with only the

Figure 2. Canonical correspondence analysis ordination diagram of axes 1 and 2 using species abundance. Squares = samples from the Cottonwood River (sites 2 and 3), circles = upper Neosho River (sites 1 and 4), triangles = lower Neosho River (sites 5, 6, 7, and 8). Arrows are the projection of environmental variables: TEMP = temperature, DO = dissolved oxygen, PH = pH, ACID = total acidity, ALK = alkalinity, HARD = total hardness, TURB = turbidity, DEPTH = water depth, CURR = current velocity, TYPE = stream type, WIDTH = stream width, PROX = proximity to shore, SILT = silt, SAND = sand, MUD = mud, GRAV = gravel, ROCK = rock. Species abbreviations: Ampl = Amblema plicata, Lefr = Leptodea fragilis, Obre = Obliguaria reflexa, Popu = Potamilus purpuratus, Qupu = <u>Quadrula</u> <u>pustulosa</u>, <u>Ququ</u> = <u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>, Trve = <u>Tritoqonia</u> verrucosa, and Trdo = Truncilla donaciformis.



Table 3. Correlation coefficients between water chemistry variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, *** P < 0.0001. Temp = temperature, DO = dissolved oxygen, Acid = total acidity, Alk = alkalinity, Hard = total hardness, Turb = turbidity.

Species

Variable

	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Amblema</u> <u>plicata</u>	-0.115	-0.052	-0.340***	0.024	-0.291**	-0.252*	0.061
<u>Anodonta</u> <u>grandis</u>	-0.191	0.036	0.120	-0.014	0.061	-0.061	-0.028
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>	0.026	-0.023	-0.170	-0.020	-0,068	-0.087	0.200
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.115	-0.164	0.000	-0.034	-0.114	-0.147	-0.034
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	-0.010	-0.070	0.049	-0.023	-0.033	-0.101	-0.029
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	0.056	0.003	-0.199	0.059	-0.164	-0.146	0.108
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	-0.191	0.036	0.120	-0.014	0.061	-0.061	-0.028

Table 3. Continued.

Species

Variable

	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Lasmiqona</u> <u>complanata</u>	-0.244**	0.076	0.081	-0.034	0.053	-0.101	-0.050
<u>Leptodea</u> <u>fragilis</u>	-0.007	0.024	0.258**	-0.259**	0.305***	0.298***	0.171
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	-0.168	0.160	-0.152	0.137	-0.212	-0.284**	0.001
<u>Pleurobema</u> coccineum	-0.009	-0.023	-0.170	-0.020	-0.068	-0.087	0.200
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>ohiensis</u>	-0.019	-0.016	-0.120	-0.014	-0.048	-0.061	0.141
<u>Potamilus</u> purpuratus	0.016	0.014	-0.148	0.018	-0.144	-0.119	-0.110
<u>Quadrula metanevra</u>	0.039	0.047	-0.210	0.057	-0.163	-0.084	-0.079
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>nodulata</u>	0.035	0.067	-0.067	-0.201	0.004	~0.085	-0.081
<u>Quadrula</u> pustulosa	-0.066	0.057	-0.105	-0.098	-0.042	-0.172	0.108
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>	0.051	-0.027	0.108	-0.185	0.173	0.135	-0.113

State and the second second second

Table 3. Continued.

Species				Variable			
	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Strophitus</u> undulatus	0.080	0.036	0.060	-0.128	0.116	0.152	0.070
<u>Tritogonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>	0.164	0.168	0.059	-0.139	0.129	0.227	-0.014
Truncilla donaciformi	<u>s</u> 0.040	-0.149	0.141	-0.117	0.238*	0.322*	** 0.172
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	-0.191	0.036	0.120	-0.014	0.061	-0.061	-0.028

17

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between stream size variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, *** P < 0.0001. Depth = Water depth, Curr = current velocity, Type = stream type, Width = stream width, Prox = Proximity to shore.

Species

Variable

	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox
Amblema plicata	0.296***	-0.211	0.137	0.314***	-0.029
<u>Anodonta</u> grandis	0.093	0.004	0.028	-0.127	-0.061
<u>Ellipsaria</u> <u>lineolata</u>	0.022	-0.068	0.039	0.082	0.147
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.269**	-0.163	0.266	0.360***	-0.145
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	0.193	-0.095	0.045	0.133	-0.032
<u>Lampsilis</u> ovata	0.050	-0.106	0.069	0.144	-0.014
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	0.093	0.004	0.028	-0.127	-0.060

Table 4. Continued.

Species		Variable						
	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox			
Lasmigona complanata	0.075	-0.046	0.133	-0.145	0.079			
<u>Leptodea</u> <u>fraqilis</u>	0.040	-0.121	0.228	-0.007	-0.098			
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	0.052	0.059	0.026	-0.165	-0.065			
<u>Pleurobema</u> <u>coccineum</u>	0.105	-0.039	0.039	0.082	-0.086			
<u>Potamilus ohiensis</u>	-0.021	-0.028	0.028	0.058	-0.061			
<u>Potamilus purpuratus</u>	0.012	-0.068	0.125	0.112	-0.124			
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>metanevra</u>	0.066	-0.085	0.048	0.035	0.131			
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>nodulata</u>	-0.055	-0.046	-0.002	0.067	0.071			
<u>Quadrula</u> pustulosa	0.157	-0.180	0.039	0.073	0.076			
<u>Quadrula</u> guadrula	-0.063	0.161	-0.150	0.037	0.177			

Species		Variable						
	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox			
Strophitus undulatus	0.041	0.004	0.028	-0.035	0.104			
<u>Tritogonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>	-0.074	0.007	-0.106	-0.038	0.017			
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>donaciformis</u>	-0.119	0.146	-0.138	-0.140	0.205			
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	0.069	0.004	0.028	-0.127	0.104			

occurrence of mud and proximity to shore being significantly correlated with CCA 2 (Table 2). The only species that scored high on CCA 2 was <u>P</u>. <u>purpuratus</u>. There were also few significant correlations between species abundance and substrate variables (Table 5). <u>Elliptio dilatata</u> correlated negatively with sand and <u>T</u>. <u>verrucosa</u> correlated positively with rock (Table 5).

Community Ordination--Biomass

CCA axis 1 was significant (Monte Carlo test P < 0.01), although the overall ordination was not significant. The first four axes had eigenvalues 0.56, 0.38, 0.26, and 0.18 respectively, and approximately 90% of the variability in species biomass was explained by the first four axes combined. CCA axes 1 and 2 explained 36% and 25% of the variation respectively. As with the ordination using species abundance, CCA axis 1 shows a water chemistry stream size gradient (Fig. 3) with a gradient length of 4.33 standard deviations. Environmental variables that were significantly correlated with CCA 1 were alkalinity, pH, total hardness, current velocity, stream type, stream width, and water depth (Table 2). Although there were fewer significant correlations between individual species biomass and environmental variables than with species abundance, these variables still accounted for the majority of significant correlations (Tables 6 and 7). Consistent with abundance, biomass of L. fragilis was positively correlated

Table 5. Correlation coefficients between substrate variables and species abundance of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, *** P < 0.0001.

Species	Variable					
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock	
Amblema plicata	0.075	-0.085	0.011	0.084	-0.066	
<u>Anodonta</u> grandis	0.096	-0.017	0.054	-0.256*	-0.048	
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>	-0.093	-0.024	0.077	0.035	-0.068	
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.152	-0.040	-0.277**	-0.076	-0.115	
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	0.025	-0.028	-0.121	0.040	-0.079	
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	0.038	-0.042	0.064	0.061	-0.045	
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	0.096	-0.017	0.054	-0.256*	-0.048	
<u>Lasmiqona</u> <u>complanata</u>	0.118	-0.040	0.013	0.058	-0.053	

Table 5. Continued.

Species	Variable					
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock	
Leptodea fragilis	0.102	-0.092	0.001	-0.109	-0.062	
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	0.003	-0.105	0.169	0.034	-0.021	
<u>Pleurobema</u> <u>coccineum</u>	0.136	-0.024	0.077	0.035	-0.068	
<u>Potamilus ohiensis</u>	0.096	-0.017	0.054	0.025	-0.048	
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>purpuratus</u>	-0.021	0.146	-0.147	-0.072	-0.087	
<u>Quadrula metanevra</u>	-0.098	-0.030	0.095	0.043	0.119	
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>nodulata</u>	0.008	-0.037	0.012	-0.033	-0.048	
<u>Quadrula</u> pustulosa	0.053	-0.068	0.011	0.028	-0.084	
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>	-0.068	-0.098	-0.189	0.034	0.067	
<u>Strophitus</u> <u>undulatus</u>	0.096	-0.017	0.054	0.025	-0.048	

in here a subserve the

- L. OF AL AL A.

Species	Variable					
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock	
Tritogonia verrucosa	-0.056	-0.055	-0.100	-0.011	0.251*	
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>donaciformis</u>	-0.168	-0.060	-0.009	0.086	0.064	
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	0.096	-0.017	0.054	0.025	-0.048	

Figure 3. Canonical correspondence analysis ordination diagram of axes 1 and 2 using species biomass. Squares = samples from the Cottonwood River (sites 2 and 3), circles = upper Neosho River (sites 1 and 4), triangles = lower Neosho River (sites 5, 6, 7, and 8). Arrows are the projection of environmental variables: TEMP = temperature, DO = dissolved oxygen, PH = pH, ACID = total acidity, ALK = alkalinity, HARD = total hardness, TURB = turbidity, DEPTH = water depth, CURR = current velocity, TYPE = stream type, WIDTH = stream width, PROX = proximity to shore, SILT = silt, SAND = sand, MUD = mud, GRAV = gravel, ROCK = rock. Species abbreviations: Ampl = <u>Amblema plicata</u>, Lefr = <u>Leptodea fragilis</u>, Obre = Obliguaria reflexa, Popu = Potamilus purpuratus, Qupu = <u>Quadrula</u> <u>pustulosa</u>, Ququ = <u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>, Trve = <u>Tritogonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>, and Trdo = Truncilla donaciformis.


with more basic conditions, while biomass of A. plicata was negatively correlated with pH (Table 6), biomass of E. dilatata was positively correlated with water depth and stream width, and A. plicata was positively correlated with water depth (Table 7). Again there were few significant correlations between substrate variables and species biomass Elliptio dilatata was negatively correlated with (Table 8). sand (Table 8). Species that scored highest on CCA 1 were L. fragilis and T. verrucosa, species which were most common in the Cottonwood and upper Neosho rivers, and P. purpuratus and A. plicata, species that were more common in the lower Neosho River. CCA 2 was also significant (P < 0.05) and seems to represent a substrate - water quality gradient (4.31 SD) (Fig. 3). Variables with significant correlations to CCA 2 were mud, rock, water depth, pH, alkalinity, and total hardness (Table 2). Species with high scores on CCA 2 were P. purpuratus and A. plicata.

Table 6. Correlation coefficients between water chemistry variables and species biomass of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, *** P < 0.0001. Temp = temperature, DO = dissolved oxygen, Acid = total acidity, Alk = alkalinity, Hard = total hardness, Turb = turbidity.

Species				Variable			
	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Amblema</u> plicata	0.108	-0.026	-0.311***	0.009	-0.207	-0.202	-0.007
<u>Anodonta grandis</u>	-0.154	0.024	0.109	-0.015	0.058	-0.061	-0.015
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>	0.032	-0.021	-0.139	-0.018	-0.048	-0.075	0.053
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.102	-0.128	-0.005	-0.033	-0.086	-0.136	-0.024
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	0.051	-0.073	0.008	-0.021	-0.045	-0.086	-0.015
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	0.039	-0.018	-0.217	0.001	-0.107	-0.117	0.037
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	-0.154	0.024	0.109	-0.015	0.058	-0.061	-0.015

Table 6. Continued.

Species				Variable			
	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Lasmigona</u> <u>complanata</u>	-0.199	0.048	0.089	-0.018	0.050	-0.099	-0.034
<u>Leptodea</u> <u>fraqilis</u>	0.117	0.062	0.183	-0.377***	0.320***	0.410*	** 0.042
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	-0.074	0.105	-0.143	0.118	-0.158	-0.219	0.067
<u>Pleurobema</u> <u>coccineum</u>	-0.006	-0.022	-0.150	-0.020	-0.052	-0.081	0.057
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>ohiensis</u>	-0.011	-0.017	-0.113	-0.015	-0.039	-0.061	0.043
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>purpuratus</u>	0.045	-0.002	-0.160	0.022	-0.130	-0.108	-0.054
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>metanevra</u>	0.089	0.035	-0.232	0.066	-0.161	-0.097	-0.043
<u>Quadrula nodulata</u>	0.045	0.035	-0.182	0.100	-0.140	-0.123	0.007
<u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>	-0.054	0.055	0.022	-0.134	-0.079	-0.074	-0.025
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>guadrula</u>	0.022	0.032	0.082	-0.198	0.178	0.136	-0.078

Table 6. Continued.

Species				Variable			
	Temp	DO	рН	Acid	Alk	Hard	Turb
<u>Strophitus</u> <u>undulatus</u>	0.071	0.024	0.053	-0.130	0.106	0.154	0.019
<u>Tritoqonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>	0.141	0.119	0.043	-0.111	0.105	0.208	-0.025
Truncilla donaciformi	<u>s</u> 0.048	-0.109	0.068	-0.050	0.153	0.216	0.007
<u>Truncilla truncata</u>	-0.154	0.024	0.109	-0.015	0.058	-0.061	-0.015

Table 7. Correlation coefficients between stream size variables and species biomass of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.001. Depth = Water depth, Curr = current velocity, Type = stream type, Width = stream width, Prox = Proximity to shore.

Species

Variable

	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox
Amblema plicata	0.271**	-0.035	0.136	0.229	-0.007
<u>Anodonta</u> grandis	0.093	-0.006	0.028	-0.122	-0.061
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>	0.017	-0.009	0.035	0.071	0.126
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.249*	-0.018	0.063	0.328***	-0.137
<u>Fusconaia</u> <u>flava</u>	0.173	-0.011	0.040	0.180	-0.070
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	0.047	-0.016	0.059	0.108	-0.051
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	0.093	-0.006	0.028	-0.122	-0.061

Table 7. Continued.

Species			Variable		
	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox
Lasmigona complanata	0.106	-0.014	0.090	-0.151	0.097
<u>Leptodea</u> <u>fragilis</u>	0.056	-0.026	0.074	-0.109	0.001
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	0.020	0.038	0.230	-0.006	-0.125
<u>Pleurobema</u> coccineum	0.093	-0.009	0.038	0.076	-0.082
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>ohiensis</u>	-0.019	-0.007	0.028	0.057	-0.061
<u>Potamilus purpuratus</u>	0.021	-0.018	0.091	0.128	-0.096
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>metanevra</u>	0.113	-0.012	0.058	0.042	0.125
<u>Quadrula nodulata</u>	-0.088	-0.016	-0.062	0.073	-0.014
<u>Quadrula</u> pustulosa	0.080	-0.038	0.012	-0.011	0.093
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>	-0.069	-0.025	-0.133	-0.004	0.174

Table 7. Continued.

Species			Variable		
	Depth	Curr	Туре	Width	Prox
<u>Strophitus</u> <u>undulatus</u>	0.042	0.006	0.028	-0.032	0.102
<u>Tritoqonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>	-0.061	-0.020	-0.090	-0.028	-0.026
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>donaciformis</u>	-0.151	-0.017	-0.079	-0.087	0.174
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	0.069	-0.006	0.028	-0.122	0.102

Table 8. Correlation coefficients between substrate variables and species biomass of freshwater mussels from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. * P < 0.01, ** P < 0.001, *** P < 0.0001.

Species			Variable		
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock
Amblema plicata	0.073	-0.082	0.048	0.078	-0.029
<u>Anodonta</u> grandis	0.095	-0.017	0.055	-0.256*	-0.049
<u>Ellipsaria</u> <u>lineolata</u>	-0.082	-0.021	0.068	0.030	-0.061
<u>Elliptio</u> <u>dilatata</u>	0.159	-0.038	-0.255*	-0.056	-0.109
<u>Fusconaia flava</u>	-0.014	-0.024	-0.144	0.035	-0.069
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>ovata</u>	0.021	-0.035	0.088	0.051	-0.101
<u>Lampsilis</u> <u>teres</u>	0.095	-0.017	0.055	-0.256*	-0.049
<u>Lasmigona</u> <u>complanata</u>	0.107	-0.035	0.056	0.050	-0.071

Table 8. Continued.

Species			Variable		
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock
Leptodea fragilis	-0.010	-0.070	0.050	-0.008	-0.023
<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>	-0.033	-0.095	0.126	0.037	-0.009
<u>Pleurobema</u> coccineum	0.127	-0.027	0.073	0.033	-0.065
<u>Potamilus</u> <u>ohiensis</u>	0.095	-0.017	0.055	0.025	-0.049
<u>Potamilus</u> purpuratus	-0.002	0.178	-0.138	-0.092	-0.084
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>metanevra</u>	-0.116	-0.035	0.113	0.050	0.115
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>nodulata</u>	-0.003	-0.037	0.019	-0.113	-0.100
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>pustulosa</u>	0.030	-0.050	-0.072	-0.019	-0.048
<u>Quadrula</u> <u>guadrula</u>	-0.067	-0.078	-0.175	0.004	0.074
<u>Strophitus</u> <u>undulatus</u>	0.095	-0.017	0.055	0.025	-0.049

Species			Variable		
	Silt	Mud	Sand	Gravel	Rock
<u>Tritogonia</u> <u>verrucosa</u>	-0.035	-0.053	-0.110	-0.049	0.238
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>donaciformis</u>	-0.150	-0.053	-0.048	0.077	0.004
<u>Truncilla</u> <u>truncata</u>	0.095	-0.017	0.055	0.025	-0.049

DISCUSSION

The ordination analyses explained the structure of mussel communities well given the percent of variation in mussel distribution and biomass explained by the environmental variables. The high eigenvalues also indicate a strong environmental gradient (Verdonschot 1987, Verdonschot 1992a, 1992b). Environmental variables that were most strongly correlated to abundance and biomass of mussel species were chemical factors and stream size i.e., current velocity, stream width and water depth, while substrate seemed of secondary importance. This observation is supported by Cvancara and Harrison (1965) and Cvancara et al. (1966) where they showed water chemistry and stream velocity to be the main factors affecting mussel distribution. Water chemistry variables measured in concentration of calcium carbonate had the most distinct gradient and highest axis 1 scores (Fig. 2 and 3). Calcium carbonate would seem to be a likely candidate as a limiting factor of freshwater mussels given its importance in shell growth. Cvancara and Harrison (1965) suggested that total alkalinity was one of the main factors affecting mussel distribution in the Red River, North Dakota, and that high levels (Cvancara 1970) should be conducive to mussel propagation. However, species that were positively correlated with high calcium carbonate levels in my study were either thin shelled (L. fragilis) or small sized (T.

<u>donaciformis</u>), while species with more massive shells (<u>A</u>. <u>plicata</u> and <u>O</u>. <u>reflexa</u>) were negatively correlated with calcium carbonate levels. The opposite would be a more realistic scenario because thick shelled species should have higher calcium carbonate demands. In addition, it is highly unlikely that calcium levels were low enough to be limiting at any of the study sites, given that levels of total calcium ranges between 57 and 99 mg/l (Kansas Department of Health and Environment unpubl. data) within the entire Neosho River Drainage. Plus, relatively diverse unionid faunas occur in areas with lower calcium levels (Nalepa and Gauvin 1988). Strayer et al. (1981) and Huebner et al. (1990) concur that calcium levels as low as 2 - 3 mg/l are not limiting to mussel populations, although this is probably close to the lower limit (Huebner et al. 1990).

Strayer (1983) suggests that variables associated with surface geology and stream size such as current velocity are major factors influencing mussel distributions, and although chemical features of water vary with surface formations, they probably do not influence mussels. Stream size related factors exhibited a distinct gradient and correlated highly with axis 1 (Fig. 2 and 3). Species scores on axis 1 were related to these variables, and thus may indicate an association between the species and these variables. In general, the Neosho River has more large river characteristics (increased stream width and water depth) farther downstream. Thus, species that favor large rivers, such as <u>A</u>. <u>plicata</u>, and <u>P</u>. <u>purpuratus</u> (Murray and Leonard 1962), tend to be more abundant, and thus the overall biomass of these species should be higher as well. In addition, unionid mussels often exhibit an increase in species richness with stream size (Strayer 1983, Pennak 1989). I did not observe an increase in species richness farther downstream (Appendix 2), although Frazier's data (1977) do show an increase in species richness from the headwater to near the Kansas-Oklahoma boarder. This difference may be due to the difference in the length of river that was sampled or in the sampling methodology.

The seemingly lesser importance of substrata on mussel abundance and biomass in my study is somewhat surprising given its traditional prominence as an important ecological factor. These data do not refute this importance, they only suggest that other factors may be more important, at least in these river systems. Possible reasons for this may simply be a result of the distinctness in the water chemistry/stream size gradient, variability of substrate was too high to detect a strong gradient, the range of substrate components was too low, or that characterization of substrate was not specific enough to detect its importance.

Separation of species in the ordinations using both abundance and biomass as dependent variables showed distinct relationships between species and environmental variables in

most cases. Species that lie in the center of the ordination diagrams are common in occurrence and may have their optima there or may be independent of the axes, while species that are less common lie at the periphery of the diagrams due to their specific environmental preference or due to chance (Verdonschot 1987, Verdonschot and Higler 1989, Verdonschot 1992a, 1992b). In both ordination diagrams, there were consistent species-environment relationships. Obliquaria reflexa, Q. pustulosa and Q. guadrula did not score high on the CCA axes, and were positioned closer to the center of both ordination diagrams than were the other species. These species, particularly Quadrula spp., are often locally abundant and seem to have a wide habitat tolerance with respect to substrate, water depth, and current velocity (Murray and Leonard 1962, Buchanan 1980, Oesch 1984). Amblema plicata, L. fragilis, P. purpuratus, T. verrucosa, and T. donaciformis scored higher on the CCA axes and were positioned toward the periphery of the ordination diagrams. The position of \underline{L} . fragilis and A. plicata toward the periphery does not seem to be an artifact of rarity given their relatively high abundance, while rarity may be a factor for P. purpuratus and T. verrucosa. These species also tend to occupy a variety of substrates (Murray and Leonard 1962, Frazier 1977, Buchanan 1980, Stern 1983, Oesch 1984). Potamilus purpuratus is an exception because of its strong association

with mud substrate (Murray and Leonard 1962, Oesch 1984), a finding that was also apparent in my study. Some of these species, however, seem to be more specific with regard to water depth and current velocity, such as the association of <u>A</u>. <u>plicata</u> with deeper water (Murray and Leonard 1962, Frazier 1977), which is supported in my study, and the association of <u>L</u>. <u>fragilis</u> with low current velocity (Murray and Leonard 1962, Oesch 1984), a species that I found to be associated with swifter current.

Evaluation of ecological data using ordination techniques does not allow hypothesis testing, rather it is a hypothesis generating method (Gauch 1982, Leland et al. 1986, Verdonschot and Higler 1989). The use of CCA determined the major ecological gradients that may affect the distribution of unionid mussels, and there are many observations from my study that seem to be consistent with the present knowledge of habitat associations of these Ordination also showed more specific speciesspecies. environment relationships, whereas before, only general conclusions of habitat associations could be drawn. These data should provide additional information for the design of studies to understand causal factors in population and community dynamics of unionid mussels in the central Great Plains.

LITERATURE CITED

- Buchanan, A. C. 1980. Mussels (naiades) of the Meramec River Basin, Missouri. Aquatic Series No. 17. 68pp.
- Cvancara, A. M. 1970. Mussels (Unionidae) of the Red River Valley in North Dakota and Minnesota, U. S. A. Malacologia 10:57-92.
- Cvancara, A. M. 1972. Lake mussel distribution as determined with SCUBA. Ecology 53:154-157.
- Cvancara, A. M., and S. S. Harrison. 1965. Distribution and ecology of mussels in the Turtle River, North Dakota. Proc. N. Dakota Acad. Sci. 19:128-146.
- Cvancara, A. M., R. G., Heetderks, and F. J. Iljana. 1966. Local distribution of mussels, Turtle River, North Dakota. Proc. N. Dakota Acad. Sci. 20:149-155.
- Edds, D. R. 1993. Fish assemblage structure and environmental correlates in Nepal's Gandaki River. Copeia 1993:48-60.
- Fisher, J. B., and M. J. S. Tevesz. 1976. Distribution and population density of <u>Elliptio</u> <u>complanata</u> (Mollusca) in Lake Pocotopaug, Connecticut. Veliger 18:332-338.
- Frazier, J. A. 1977. Unionid mussels of the Neosho River Drainage. M.S. Thesis, Emporia St. Univ. 32pp.
- Gauch, H. G., Jr. 1982. Multivariate analysis in community ecology. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England. 298pp.
- Hanson, J. M., W. C. Mackay, and E. E. Prepas. 1988a. Population size, growth, and production of a unionid

clam, <u>Anodonta grandis</u> <u>simpsoniana</u>, in a small deep Boreal Forest lake in central Alberta. Can. J. Zool. 66:247-253.

- Hanson, J. M., W. C. Mackay, and E. E. Prepas. 1988b. The effects of water depth and density on the growth of a unionid clam. Freshwat. Biol. 19:345-355.
- Harman, W. N. 1972. Benthic substrates: their effect on fresh-water mollusca. Ecology 53:271-277.
- Haukioja, E., and T. Hakala. 1974. Vertical distribution of freshwater mussels (Pelecypoda, Unionidae) in southwestern Finland. Ann. Zool. Fennici. 11:127-130.
- Holland-Bartels, L. E. 1990. Physical factors and their influence on the mussel fauna of a main channel border habitat of the upper Mississippi River. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 9:327-335.
- Huebner, J. D., D. F. Malley, and K. Donkersloot. 1990. Population ecology of the freshwater mussel <u>Anodonta</u> <u>grandis grandis</u> in a Precambrian Shield lake. Can J. Zool. 68:1931-1941.
- Huehner, M. K. 1987. Field and laboratory determination of substrate preferences of unionid mussels. Ohio J. Sci. 87:29-32.
- Isom, B. G., and C. Gooch. 1986. Rationale and sampling designs for freshwater mussels Unionidae in streams, large rivers, impoundments, and lakes. pp. 46-59, <u>in</u> Rationale for sampling and interpretation of ecological

data in the assessment of freshwater ecosystems, B. G. Isom (ed.). American Society for Testing Materials, ASTM STP 894. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

- James, M. R. 1985. Distribution, biomass, and production of the freshwater mussel, <u>Hyridella menziesi</u> (Gray), in Lake Taupo, New Zealand. Freshwat. Biol. 15:307-314.
- James, M. R. 1987. Ecology of the freshwater mussel <u>Hyridella menziesi</u> (Gray) in a small oligotrophic lake. Arch. Hydrobiol. 108:337-348.
- Kat, P. W. 1982. Effects of population density and substratum type on growth and migration of <u>Elliptio</u> <u>complanata</u> (Bivalvia: Unionidae). Malacol. Rev. 15:119-127.
- Leland, H. V., J. L. Carter, and S. V. Fend. 1986. Use of detrended correspondence analysis to evaluate factors controlling spacial distribution of benthic insects. Hydrobiologia 132:113-123.
- Mann, K. H. 1964. The pattern of energy flow in the fish and invertebrate fauna of the river Thames. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 15:485-495.
- Miller, A. C., and B. S. Payne. 1988. The need for quantitative sampling to characterize size demography and density of freshwater mussel communities. Am. Malacol. Bull. 6:49-54.
- Miller, A. C., B. S. Payne, and D. W. Aldridge. 1986. Characterization of a bivalve community in the

Tangipahoa River, Mississippi. Nautilus 100:18-23.

- Murray, H. D., and A. B. Leonard. 1962. Handbook of unionid mussels in Kansas. Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Misc. Publ. No. 28. 184pp.
- Nalepa, T. M., and J. M. Gauvin. 1988. Distribution, abundance, and biomass, of freshwater mussels (Bivalvia:Unionidae) in Lake St. Clair. J. Great Lakes Res. 14:411-419.
- Negus, C. L. 1966. A quantitative study of growth and production of unionid mussels in the river Thames at Reading. J. Anim. Ecol. 35:513-532.
- Oesch, R. D. 1984. Missouri naiades: a guide to the mussels of Missouri. Missouri Dept. Conserv. 270pp.
- Pennak, R. W. 1989. Fresh-water invertebrates of the United States: Protozoa to Mollusca. 3rd ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York. 628pp.
- Rice, W. R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223-225.
- Stern, E. M. 1983. Depth distribution and density of freshwater mussels (Unionidae) collected with scuba from the lower Wisconsin and St. Croix Rivers. Nautilus 97:36-42.
- Strayer, D. L. 1981. Notes on the microhabitats of unionid mussels in some Michigan streams. Am. Midl. Nat. 106:411-415.
- Strayer, D. 1983. The effects of surface geology and

stream size on freshwater mussel (Bivalvia, Unionidae) distribution in southeastern Michigan, U.S.A. Freshwat. Biol. 13:253-264.

- Strayer, D. L., J. J. Cole, G. E. Likens, and D. C. Buso. 1981. Biomass and annual production of the freshwater mussel <u>Elliptio complanata</u> in an oligotrophic softwater lake. Freshwat. Biol. 11:435-440
- Ter Braak, C. J. F. 1988. CANOCO: a FORTRAN program for canonical community ordination by (partial) (detrended) (canonical) correspondence analysis, principal components analysis and redundancy analysis (version 2.1). Report LWA-88-02. Agricultural Mathematics Group, Wageningen, The Netherlands. 95pp.
- Tevesz, M. J. S., and P. M. McCall. 1979. Evolution of substratum preference in bivalves (Mollusca). J. Paleontol. 53:112-120.
- Tevesz, M. J. S., D. W. Cornelius, and J. B. Fisher. 1985. Life habits of riverine <u>Lampsilis</u> <u>radiata</u> <u>luteola</u> (Mollusca: Bivalvia). Kirtlandia No. 41:27-34.
- Turgeon, D. D., A. E. Bogan, E. V. Coan, W. K. Emerson, W. G. Lyons, W. L. Pratt, C. F. E. Roper, A. Scheltema, F. G. Thompson, and J. D. Williams. 1988. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the United States and Canada: Mollusks. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. 16.

Van Cleave, H. J. 1940. Ten years of observation on a

fresh-water mussel population. Ecology 21:363-370. Verdonschot, P. F. M. 1987. Aquatic oligochaetes in

ditches. Hydrobiologia 155:283-292.

- Verdonschot, P. F. M. 1992a. Macrofaunal community types in ponds and small lakes (Overijssel, The Netherlands). Hydrobiologia 232:111-132.
- Verdonschot, P. F. M. 1992b. Macrofaunal community types of ditches in the province of Overijssel (The Netherlands). Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl. 90:133-158.
- Verdonschot, P. F. M., and L. W. G. Higler. 1989. Macroinvertebrates in Dutch ditches: A typological characterization and the status of the Dennerik ditches. Hydrobiol. Bull. 23:135-142.
- Way, C. M., A. C. Miller, and B. S. Payne. 1989. The influence of physical factors on the distribution and abundance of freshwater mussels (Bivalvia: Unionidae)

in the lower Tennessee River. Nautilus 103:96-98.

Welch, P. S. 1948. Limnological methods. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc. New York. 381pp.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Specific locations of study sites on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers, Kansas.

Site	Location
1	Neosho River, SW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 33, T18S, R11E,
	Lyon Co. Kansas. 3.2 km N, 2.4 km E, Emporia.
2	Cottonwood River, SE 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 22, T19S,
	R11E, Lyon Co. Kansas. Within Emporia city
	limmits.
3	Cottonwood River, NE 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 28, T19S,
	R12E, Lyon Co. Kansas. 4.0 km S, 8.8 km E,
	Emporia.
4	Neosho River, NW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 29, T19S, R13E,
	Lyon Co. Kansas. 0.8 km W, Neosho Rapids.
5	Neosho River, NW 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 23, T21S, R15E,
	Coffey Co. Kansas. 0.8 km N, 0.8 km E,
	Burlington.
6	Neosho River, NE 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 33, T22S, R16E,
	Coffey Co. Kansas. 0.4 km N, 2.4 km E, Leroy.
7	Neosho River, NE 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 33, T23S, R17E,
	Woodson Co. Kansas. 0.4 km E, Neosho Falls.
8	Neosho River, SW 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 32, T25S, R18E,
	Allen Co. Kansas. 1.6 km N, 2.0 km W, Humbolt.

Species							Sit	æ								
	_		N		ω		4		5		6		7		8	
Amblema plicata	0.05 ±	0.22	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22	0.55 ±	0.76	0.55 ±	0.94	0.55 ±	0.76
Anodonta grandis	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
<u>Ellipsaria lineolata</u>		0		0		0		0		0		0	0.10 ±	0.31		0
<u>Elliptio</u> dilatata		0		0		0		0		0	0.55 ±	0.94		0		0
Fusconaia flava	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0		0		0		0		0	0.15 ±	0.49
<u>Lampsilis ovata</u>		0		0		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22	0.05 ±	0.22	0.15 ±	0.37	0.05 ±	0.22
<u>Lampsilis teres</u>	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Lasmigona complanata	0.20 ±	0.52		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0	0.05 ±	0.22	0.05 ±	0.22		0
Leptodea fragilis	0.40 ±	0.68	1.00 ±	1.08	0.25 ± 0	.44	0.05 ±	0.22	0.15 ±	0.37	0.05 ±	0.22	0.10 ±	0.45	0.20 ±	0.70
<u>Obliquaria</u> reflexa	0.50 ±	0.89	0.10 ±	0.31		0	0.05 ±	0.22	0.40 ±	0.68	0.45 ±	0.51	0.55 ±	0.69	0.15 ±	0.37
Pleurobema coccineum		0		0		0		0		0		0	0.10 ±	0.31		0
<u>Potamilus ohiensis</u>		0		0		0		0		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0
Potamilus purpuratus	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0	0.25 ±	0.64	0.05 t	0.22	0.15 ±	0.49
Quadrula metanevra		0		0		0		0		0	0.30 ±	0.66	0.15 ±	0.49		0



^a Locations correspond to those in Figure 1 and Appendix 1

Species							Sit	e								
	_		2		S		4		5		6		7		8	
Quadrula nodulata		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0	0.05 ±	0.22	0.25 ±	0.64		0
<u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>	0.55 ±	0.89	0.25 ±	0.55	0.05 ±	0.22	0.30 ±	0.66	0.15 ±	0.37	0.30 ±	0.57	0.75 ±	0.79	0.40 ±	0.60
Quadrula guadrula	0.25 ±	0.55	0.30 ±	0.57	0.30 ±	0.47	0.65 ±	0.99	0.05 ±	0.22	0.15 ±	0.49	0.05 ±	0.22	0.45 ±	0.76
<u>Strophitus</u> undulatus		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0		0		0		0		0
Tritogonia verrucosa		0	0.25 ±	0.44		0	0.10 ±	0.31	0.10 ±	0.31	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0
<u>Truncilla donaciformis</u>		0	0.35 ±	0.67	0.35 ±	0.75	0.10 ±	0.31	0.05 ±	0.22		0	0.05 ±	0.22		0
Truncilla truncata	0.05 ±	0.22		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	2.15 ±	2.35	2.35 ±	1.69	1.00 ±	1.40	1.35 ±	1.50	1.00 ±	1.17	2.80 ±	2.24	2.95 ±	2.14	2.10 ±	1.89
Number of species		=		8		Ś		8		63		12		14		8

Appendix 2. Continued.

	I.		Sit	ē							
	2	3	4		σ	6		7		09	
1.16 ± 5.1	19 1.56 ± 6.95	0		0	0.67 ± 2.9	7 8.59 ±	13.30	7.75 ±	12.61	5.77 ±	8.68
0.19 ± 0.8	33 0	0		0		0	0		0		0
	0	0		0		0	0	0.44 ±	1.56		0
	0	0		0		0 3.87 ±	7.01		0		0
0.08 ± 0.3	0	0		0		0	0		0	0.99 ±	3.29
	0	0		0	1.28 ± 5.7	0 1.22 ±	5.46	3.01 ±	7.81	0.34 ±	1.50
0.28 ± 1.2	3 0	0		0		0	0		0		0
3.81 ± 11.0	5 0	0	0.46 ±	2.03		0 1.06 ±	4.72	0.40 ±	1.77		0
3.54 ± 6.1	6 24.28 ± 28.48	0.99 ± 2.35	1.13 ±	5.03	0.61 ± 2.4	6 0.72 ±	3.20	1.01 ±	4.52	1.96 ±	7.90
0.93 ± 1.8	4 0.27 ± 0.83	0	0.14 ±	0.60	0.71 ± 1.6	3 1.29 ±	1.52	0.71 ±	1.15	0.34 ±	0.85
	000	0		0		0	0	0.35 ±	1.14		0
	0	0		0		0	0	0.19 ±	0.85		0
0.43 ± 1.9	ō	٥	1.02 ±	4.56		0 5.60 ±	14.12	0.90 ±	4.00	3.22 ± 1	11.14
	0	o		0		0 1.85 ±	4.50	0.40 ±	1.29		0
	1.16 ± 5. 0.19 ± 0.8 0.28 ± 1.2 3.81 ± 11.0 3.54 ± 6.1 0.93 ± 1.8	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2 3 4 1.16 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0 0.19 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.19 0.83 0.83 0 </td <td>1 2 3 4 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0 0.19 \pm 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0.19 \pm 0.83 0</td> <td>1 2 3 4 5 1.16 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0</td> <td>I 2 3 4 5 6 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0.67 \pm 2.97 6 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0 0 6.7 \pm 6 0 0.67 \pm 2.97 8.59 \pm 6 0.19 \pm 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 6 0</td> <td>I Z 3 - 5 6 - 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0 0 0 1,50 ± 6,95 0<td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 8.59 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 0.19 ± 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 1.55 ± 6.95 7 0 0 7.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 0 1.75 ± 0<td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 0.859 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 12.61 0.19 ± 0.83 0</td><td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 .16 5.19 1.56 6.95 1.50 5.77 6 7 8 0.19 0.83 0.95 0<</td></td></td>	1 2 3 4 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0 0.19 \pm 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0.19 \pm 0.83 0	1 2 3 4 5 1.16 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0	I 2 3 4 5 6 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0.67 \pm 2.97 6 1.16 \pm 5.19 1.56 \pm 6.95 0 0 0 6.7 \pm 6 0 0.67 \pm 2.97 8.59 \pm 6 0.19 \pm 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 6 0	I Z 3 - 5 6 - 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0 0 0 1,50 ± 6,95 0 <td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 8.59 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 0.19 ± 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 1.55 ± 6.95 7 0 0 7.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 0 1.75 ± 0<td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 0.859 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 12.61 0.19 ± 0.83 0</td><td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 .16 5.19 1.56 6.95 1.50 5.77 6 7 8 0.19 0.83 0.95 0<</td></td>	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 8.59 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 0.19 ± 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 1.55 ± 6.95 7 0 0 7.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 1.75 ± 0 0 0 1.75 ± 0 <td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 0.859 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 12.61 0.19 ± 0.83 0</td> <td>I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 .16 5.19 1.56 6.95 1.50 5.77 6 7 8 0.19 0.83 0.95 0<</td>	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 1.16 ± 5.19 1.56 ± 6.95 0 0 0.67 ± 2.97 0.859 ± 13.30 7.75 ± 12.61 0.19 ± 0.83 0	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1 2 .16 5.19 1.56 6.95 1.50 5.77 6 7 8 0.19 0.83 0.95 0<

Appendix 3. Mean shell free biomass (g/m² \pm SD) of freshwater mussels from eight locations^a on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers.

^a Locations correspond to those in Figure 1 and Appendix 1

Species					Site	ſĊ								
	1	2	δ		4		5		6		7		8	
Quadrula nodulata	0	0	0.25 ±	1.12		0		0	0.26 ±	1.16	0.45 ±	0.97		0
Quadrula pustulosa	2.69 ± 4.57	1.33 ± 3.16	0.14 ±	0.60	1.66 ±	4.62	0.63 ±	1.60	0.78 ±	1.74	2.07 ±	2.57	1.38 ±	2.13
<u>Quadrula</u> quadrula	1.96 ± 4.61	1.87 ± 4.34	1.56 ±	2.70	5.56 ±	9.48	0.16 ±	0.72	0.92 ±	3.61	0.13 ±	0.56	1.96 ±	3.68
<u>Strophitus</u> undulatus	0	0.37 ± 1.63		0		0		0		0		0		0
Tritogonia verrucosa	0	4.32 ± 8.28		0	1.70 ±	5.32	2.10 ±	6.46	0.88 ±	3.91		0		0
<u> Iruncilla</u> donaciformis	0	0.05 ± 0.10	0.11 ± 1	0.24	0.07 ±	0.24	0.02 ±	0.09		0	0.02 ±	0.09		0
Truncilla truncata	0.13 ± 0.56	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	14.75 ± 18.63	34.03 ± 32.10	3.04 ± 4	4.70	11.70 ± 1	17.64	6.16 ±	8.45	27.01 ± 3	22.63	17.79 ±	15.26	15.94 ± 1	5.73

Appendix 3. Continued.

Effects of fish hosts on genetic variation in unionid mussels

INTRODUCTION

The genetic structure of populations is determined in part by the level of gene flow, which in turn is reflective of dispersal among populations (Slatkin 1985, 1987). Organisms that differ in their ability to disperse should therefore exhibit differences in genetic structure. Thus, species with limited ability to disperse will exhibit greater differentiation, which has been shown to be true in comparisons of species with contrasting life history characteristics that impose morphological (Zera 1981, Caccone 1985) or ecological (Caccone 1985, Liebherr 1988) restrictions on dispersal.

The freshwater mussel family Unionidae has a widespread geographic distribution (Taylor 1988). The richest fauna is in North America (Burch 1975) and includes 49 genera and 297 species and subspecies (Turgeon et al. 1988). Unionids are unique among bivalves in that they are, with few exceptions, obligate parasites on fish during the juvenile stage of the life cycle (Coker et al. 1921, Fuller 1974, Kat 1984). Dispersal is the most apparent advantage of this parasitic association, and host mediated dispersal has probably had profound effects on unionid distribution (Watters 1992) and evolution (Kat 1984).

In addition to fish being the main dispersal mechanism,

there is considerable variation in host specificity among unionids (Fuller 1974), although for the majority of species, hosts are not known. The unusual mode of host mediated dispersal coupled with inherent variation in host specificity poses obvious implications for the genetic structuring of unionid populations, and there is evidence that suggests the genetic structure of mussel populations may mirror the genetic structure of host populations (Markillie 1989).

Unionids can be placed in three general categories of host specificity: 1) host generalists-known to use several species of fish as hosts, 2) host specialist-known to use only one or very few species of fish as hosts, 3) facultative parasitism or no parasitism-ability to metamorphose into juvenile with or without a parasitic stage.

Obliquaria reflexa and Quadrula pustulosa are two species of widely distributed North American unionids that differ markedly in their development. Obliquaria reflexa is one of the few unionid species that is known to complete development from larvae to juvenile without a parasitic stage (Fuller 1974). Conversely, six species of fish are known to serve as hosts for Q. pustulosa, these are the shovelnose sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus platorynchus), white crappie (Pomoxis annularis), black bullhead (Ameiurus melas), brown bullhead (Ameiurus nebulosus), channel catfish (<u>Ictalurus punctatus</u>), and flathead catfish (<u>Pylodictus</u> <u>olivaris</u>) (Fuller 1974). This suggests that <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u> should possess a greater dispersal capacity and gene flow among populations than <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u>.

I used these two species to test predictions of genetic structure based on proposed dispersal abilities. If dispersal increases gene flow in <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u>, its populations should exhibit: 1) higher numbers of alleles/locus, 2) higher levels of heterozygosity, 3) lower levels of inbreeding, and 4) less population subdivision than <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u>.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

I collected 10 specimens of <u>Quadrula pustulosa</u> and <u>Obliquaria reflexa</u> at six locations from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers in eastern Kansas (Fig. 1) from July through September 1991: (1) Neosho River, SW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 33, T18S, R11E, Lyon Co. Kansas, 3.2 km N, 2.4 km E, Emporia; (2) Neosho River, NW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 29, T19S, R13E, Lyon Co. Kansas, 0.8 km W, Neosho Rapids; (3) Cottonwood River, SE 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 22, T19S, R11E, Lyon Co. Kansas, within Emporia city limits; (4) Neosho River, NW 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 23, T21S, R15E, Coffey Co. Kansas, 0.8 km N, 0.8 km E, Burlington; (5) Neosho River, NE 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 33, T23S, R17E, Woodson Co. Kansas, 0.4 km E, Neosho Falls; (6) Neosho River, NE 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 15, T33S, R21E, Labette Co. Kansas, 1.2 km N, 0.4 km E, Oswego.

I immediately returned live individuals to the laboratory where soft bodies were removed and stored at -60° C. Approximately 1.0 g of foot muscle was removed and homogenized with 1 - 3 ml grinding solution. I then placed the homogenate in 1.5 ml micro centrifuge tubes, centrifuged each for 1 minute, and stored them at -60° C.

Genetic variability was measured at 15 presumptive loci using horizontal starch gel electrophoresis. Enzymes assayed and number of loci were: esterase (EST), 1; glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), 1; aspartate aminotransferase (AAT), 1 (formerly GOT); glucose-6-phosphate isomerase Figure 1. Location of collecting sites on the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers. Sites correspond to those given in the text.



(GPI), 1; glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G-6-PDH), 1; cytosol aminopeptidase (CAP), 1 (formerly LAP); malate dehydrogenase, (MDH), 2; purine-nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), 1 (formerly NP); peptidase (PEP-gl), 1; phosphoglucomutase (PGM), 2; phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (PGDH), 1 (formerly 6-PGD); superoxide dismutase (SOD) 2. Tris citrate pH 8.0 was the buffer system and all gels were run for 8hr at 90v. Stain and buffer recipes follow Selander et al. (1971), Harris and Hopkinson (1976), and Murphy et al. (1990).

Allozyme data were analyzed using BIOSYS-1 computer package (Swofford and Selander 1981). Mean heterozygosity, percent polymorphic loci, and mean number of alleles per locus per population were calculated for each species. Differences in levels of heterozygosity and allelism between species were tested with a one tailed t-test. Genetic similarity (Rogers 1972) among populations was determined. The level of inbreeding within and among populations was assessed using Wright's (1965, 1978) F-statistics.
RESULTS

Of the 15 loci assayed, eight were polymorphic for Q. <u>pustulosa</u> and six for Q. <u>reflexa</u> (Table 1). The level of heterozygosity for both species was low, however, Q. <u>pustulosa</u> had higher overall values of mean heterozygosity and mean number of alleles per locus than Q. <u>reflexa</u>, but these were not significantly different (Table 2). Populations of Q. <u>pustulosa</u> generally had a higher percentage of polymorphic loci, number of alleles per locus, and mean heterozygosity than Q. <u>reflexa</u>. However, only at population four did allelism (t=1.82, df=17, P<0.05) and heterozygosity (t=1.75, df=17, P<0.05) differ significantly (Table 2).

Populations of both species were relatively similar. Average genetic similarity (Rogers 1972) was slightly higher for <u>O</u>. <u>reflexa</u> (0.937) than for <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u> (0.925). Clustering of populations based on Rogers' (1972) genetic similarity showed populations of <u>O</u>. <u>reflexa</u> that were closer in proximity were more similar, whereas clustering of populations of <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u> did not show geographic affinities (Fig. 2). <u>Obliguaria reflexa</u> also showed a general reduction in genetic distance between adjacent populations farther downstream, i.e., the genetic distance between populations 1 and 2 was much greater than populations 4 and 5 (Fig. 2). This trend was not apparent for <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u>.
 Table 1. Allele frequencies of <u>Quadrula pustulosa</u> and <u>Obliquaria reflexa</u> from the Neosho and

 Cottonwood rivers.

			Qu	adrula	<u>pustulo</u>	sa			<u>Ob</u>	liquari	<u>a refle</u>	<u>xa</u>	
Locus		1 ^a	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
AAT	N	10	8	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.900	1.000	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000
	ь	0.100	0	0	0	0	0	0.100	0	0.100	0	0	0
CAP	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0.300	0.111	0 .3 50	0.400	0.250	0.100	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b	0	0.111	0.200	0	0.050	0.250	0	0	0	0	0	0
	с	0	0.167	0.050	0	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d	0.300	0.056	0	0	0.150	0.250	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e	0.300	0.389	0.400	0.500	0.450	0.350	0	0	0	0	0	0
	f	0.050	0 .1 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	g	0	0.056	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	h	0	0	0	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	i	0	0	0	0	0.050	0.05 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	j	0.050	0	0	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ĸ	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	1,000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
EST	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1 .0 00	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.900	0.900	0.950	0.900	1.000	0.950
	ь	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.100	0.100	0.050	0.1 00	0	0.050
GDH	N	8	9	10	10	10	10	2	6	10	1 0	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1. 0 00	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.500	0.917	1.000	1.000	1.0 00	1.000
	ь	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0.500	0	0	0	0	0
	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.083	0	0	0	0

 $^{\mathbf{a}}$ Numbers 1-6 correspond to collections localities in Figure 1 and text

			Qu	adrula	pustulo	sa			<u>Ob</u>	liquari	<u>a refle</u>	xa	
Locus		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
G-6-PDH	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	b	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0
GPI	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	0.750	0.950	0 .900	0.850	1.000
	b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.250	0.050	0.100	0.150	0
	с	1.000	0.778	1.000	0.95 0	1.000	1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d	0	0.222	0	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MDH-1	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.050	0	0	0.050	0
	b	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	0.950	1.000	1.000	0.950	1.000
	с	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.950	0.950	1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d	0	0	0	0.050	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MDH-2	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
PEP-GL	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
PGDH	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Ь	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0

			Qu	<u>adrula</u>	pustulo	sa			<u>0b</u>	liquari	<u>a</u> <u>refle</u>	xa	
Locus		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
PGM-1	N	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.950	1.000	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000
	ь	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.050	0	0.100	0	0	0
	с	0.150	0.111	0.150	0.100	0.050	0.167	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d	0.200	0.222	0.600	0.650	0.700	0.333	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e	0.650	0.667	0.250	0.250	0.200	0.500	0	0	0	0	0	0
	f	0	0	0	0	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PGM-2	N	10	9	10	7	8	9	10	10	9	10	10	10
	а	0.350	0.222	0.100	0.286	0.125	0.278	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ь	0.650	0.778	0.900	0.714	0.875	0.722	0	0	0	0	0	0
PNP	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	ь	1.000	0.889	0.900	1.000	1.000	0.900	0	0	0	0	0	0
	с	0	0.111	0.100	0	0	0.100	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOD - 1	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.950	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Ь	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.050	0	0	0
SOD - 2	N	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	а	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Means
between
species
are s
ignifi
cantly
differe
nt (P <c< td=""></c<>
.05

*

^b Numbers in parentheses are mean sample sizes per locus

^a Population numbers correspond to those in Figure 1 and text

-		
•		
•		
۰.		
•		
L		
·		
•		
1		
••		
ι.		
,		
•		
_		
-		
١.		
•		
•		
•		
)		

63

Table 2. Measures of genetic variability in Quadrula pustulosa and Obliquaria reflexa from the Neosho and Cottonwood rivers.

Population ^a		<u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>			<u>Obliquaria reflexa</u>	
	Mean alleles/	% polymorphic	Mean	Mean alleles/	% polymorphic	Mean
	locus	loci	heterozygosi ty	locus	loci	heterozygos i ty
_	1.5(9.9) ^b	26.7	0.060	1.3(9.5)	26.7	0.020
2	1.7(8.9)	33.3	0.052	1.3(9.7)	26.7	0.038
3	1.5(9.9)	26.7	0.060	1.3(9.9)	33.3	0.033
4	1.5(9.5)	33.3	0.086	1.1(10.0)*	6.7	0.013*
J	1.7(9.6)	26.7	0.063	1.1(10.0)	13.3	0.027
6	1.5(9.8)	26.7	0.084	1.1(10.0)	6.7	0.007
All populations	2.2(57.6)	26.7	0.067	1.5(59.1)	13.3	0.023

Figure 2. Phenogram of Rogers' (1972) genetic similarity among populations of (A) <u>Obliguaria reflexa</u> and (B) <u>Quadrula pustulosa</u>. Numbers 1-6 in the phenogram correspond to collection sites in Figure 1 and text.



At comparable loci, the level of inbreeding was either higher for Q. <u>pustulosa</u> (GPI and PGM), or was relatively the same (AAT and MDH-1) between the species (Table 3). Overall inbreeding within populations (F_{is}) was higher for Q. <u>pustulosa</u>, while population subdivision (F_{st}) was higher for Q. <u>reflexa</u> (Table 3).

Table 3.	F-stat	cistics a	at all	polymo	orphic	loci	of	<u>Quadrula</u>
<u>pustulosa</u>	and <u>Ob</u>	oliquaria	a <u>refle</u>	<u>exa</u> fro	om the	Neosh	no a	nd
Cottonwoo	d river	cs.						

	Quad	Irula pustu	losa	<u>Obliquaria</u> <u>reflexa</u>					
Locus	F _{IS}	F _{IT}	F _{ST}	F _{IS}	F _{IT}	F _{ST}			
ААТ	1.000	1.000	0.085	1.000	1.000	0.069			
CAP	0.292	0.337	0.063						
EST				0.273	0.298	0.035			
GDH				0.745	0.884	0.388			
GPI	0.773	0.809	0.158	0.006	0.099	0.094			
MDH-1	-0.053	-0.017	0.034	-0.053	- 0.017	0.034			
PGM-1	0.474	0.545	0.135	- 0.091	- 0.026	0.060			
PGM-2	0.429	0.455	0.045						
PNP	1.000	1.000	0.055						
SOD-1				-0.053	-0.008	0.042			
MEAN	0.443	0.482	0.086	0.317	0.428	0.162			

DISCUSSION

The observed trends in genetic structuring of Obliguaria reflexa and Quadrula pustulosa are in many cases consistent with predictions based on their dispersal abilities. The lack of significant differences, however, suggests that physical or biological factors other than fish may play a role in gene dispersal, or lack of it, in these species. For instance, even if glochidia of Q. pustulosa are being dispersed greater distances it does not necessarily mean that genes are being established, i.e., low recruitment. Mussels in general are patchy in distribution, and differences in population size and the distance between populations may affect gene flow. These could be addressed by comparing species with the same fish hosts, e.g., Potamilus ohiensis and Potamilus purpuratus, which parasitize white crappie and freshwater drum (Aplodinotus grunniens), or Truncilla truncata and Truncilla donaciformis, which parasitize sauger (Stizostedion canadense) and freshwater drum (Fuller 1974). Species that have low population density and or greater distance between populations, should display less gene flow among populations, and thus should exhibit lower heterozygosity and greater differentiation. Consistent with this prediction, Stiven and Alderman (1992) have shown that mussel species which are more common and have widespread distributions exhibit increased heterozygosity and

polymorphism than rarer species. Passive transport downstream may be preventing a large degree of local differentiation as seen in aquatic bacteria (McArthur et al. 1992), or the geographic range that was studied was too small to detect significant differences in genetic structure between the species. The fact that genetic distances was greater between upstream populations of <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u> than populations farther downstream suggests that passive transport of individuals (and alleles) may be occurring, although, upstream populations of <u>Q</u>. <u>reflexa</u> had higher heterozygosity and polymorphism than downstream populations, which is contradictory. Concurrently, the fact that <u>Q</u>. <u>pustulosa</u> did not follow this same trend suggests that fish are playing a role in dispersal of this species.

The system of fish-mediated dispersal in unionid mussels is in many ways analogous to the pollination of plants by their animal visitors. Although in the latter it is gametes that are being dispersed rather than individuals, both systems may be dependent on animal dispersers. In plants, the mechanism of pollination greatly affects the level of genetic variability, i.e., higher levels of genetic subdivision in animal pollinated species than wind pollinated species (Hamrick et al. 1979, Loveless and Hamrick 1984). In the case of animal pollinated plant species, differences in pollen dispersal have been shown between hummingbirds and insects (Webb and Bawa 1983), and between different species of hummingbirds (Linhart 1973, Linhart and Feinsinger 1980, Linhart et al. 1987) and insects (Primack and Silander 1975, Campbell 1985). Although Waser (1982) contends that dispersal distances do not differ among pollinators, larger pollinators, such as birds and bats, cause a greater reduction in subdivision due to higher rates of visitation and rare long distance dispersal (Loveless and Hamrick 1984).

If behavioral variation of plant pollinators affects the genetic structure, then behavioral variation in fish that serve as unionid hosts may also affect genetic structure. Some species of riverine fish are shown to move more than others. For instance, Funk (1955) has shown that channel catfish, freshwater drum, and white crappie are much more mobile than rock bass (Ambloplites rupestris), smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui), spotted bass (Micropterus punctulatus), longear sunfish (Lepomis <u>megalotis</u>), and yellow bullhead (<u>Ameiurus natalis</u>). The size of fish may also influence the amount of movement. Smaller individuals of certain species are more sedentary than larger individuals, while in other species size does not seem to affect movement (Funk 1955). These data suggest that fish hosts that exhibit limited mobility may cause greater differentiation among mussel populations. In accordance with this prediction, Kat (1983) and Kat and Davis (1984) have shown that mussel species which have

anadromous fish hosts exhibit less differentiation than mussel species with hosts that are strictly freshwater. This prediction also applies to behavioral variation that occurs among fish that only inhabit freshwater. Host species that exhibit greater movement such as freshwater drum and white crappie (Funk 1955) may reduce subdivision among populations of mussel species that parasitize them, e.g., <u>Leptodea fragilis</u>, <u>Potamilus alatus</u>, <u>P. purpuratus</u>, and <u>P. ohiensis</u> (Fuller 1974), compared to mussel species whose hosts are more sedentary.

The results of my study lend support to the hypothesis that fish affect the genetic structure of freshwater mussels, although investigation for more conclusive evidence is needed. As we learn more about the hosts of freshwater mussels, comparisons between species should provide more information relative to the evolutionary mechanisms controlling this group. LITERATURE CITED

- Burch, J. B. 1975. Freshwater unionacean clams (Mollusca: Pelecyopoda) of North America. Biota of freshwater ecosystems identification manual No. 11. E. P. A., Wash., D. C. 176pp.
- Caccone, A. 1985. Gene flow in cave arthropods: a qualitative and quantitative approach. Evolution 39:1223-1235.
- Campbell, D. R. 1985. Pollen and gene dispersal: the influences of competition for pollen. Evolution 39:418-431.
- Coker, R. E., A. F. Shira, H. W. Clark, and A. D. Howard. 1921. Natural History and propagation of fresh-water mussels. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish. 37:75-182.
- Fuller, S. L. H. 1974. Clams and mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia). pp. 215-273, <u>in</u> Pollution ecology of freshwater invertebrates. C. W. Hart and S. L. H. Fuller (eds.). Academic Press, New York.
- Funk, J. L. 1955. Movement of stream fishes in Missouri. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 85:39-57.
- Hamrick, J. L., Y. B. Linhart, and J. B. Mitton. 1979. Relationships between life history characteristics and electrophoretically detectable variation in plants. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 10:173-200.
- Harris, H., and D. A. Hopkinson. 1976. Handbook of enzyme electrophoresis in human genetics. Am. Elsevier Publ. Co. Inc., New York.

- Kat, P. W. 1983. Morphological divergence, genetics, and speciation among <u>Lampsilis</u> (Bivalvia: Unionidae). J. Moll. Stud. 49:133-145.
- Kat, P. W. 1984. Parasitism and the Unionacea (Bivalvia). Biol. Rev. 59:189-207.
- Kat, P. W., and G. M. Davis. 1984. Molecular genetics of peripheral populations of Nova Scotian Unionidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 22:157-185.

Liebherr, J. K. 1988. Gene flow in ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) of differing habitat preference and flight-wing development. Evolution 42:129-137.

- Linhart, Y. B. 1973. Ecological and behavioral determinants of pollen dispersal in hummingbirdpollinated <u>Heliconia</u>. Am. Nat. 107:511-523.
- Linhart, Y. B., and P. Feinsinger. 1980. Plant-hummingbird interactions: effects of island size and degree of specialization on pollen. J. Anim. Ecol. 68:745-760.
- Linhart, Y. B., W. H. Busby, J. H. Beach, and P. Feinsinger. 1987. Forager behavior, pollen dispersal, and inbreeding in two species of hummingbird-pollinated plants. Evolution 41:679-682.
- Loveless, M. D. and J. L. Hamrick. 1984. Ecological determinants of genetic structure in plant populations. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 15:65-95.
- Markillie, D. B. 1989. Electrophoretic analysis of the host-parasite relationship between the flathead catfish

(<u>Pylodictus olivaris</u>) and mapleleaf mussel (<u>Quadrula</u> <u>quadrula</u>). M. S. Thesis, Western Illinois University, Macomb, 33pp.

- McArthur, J. V., L. G. Leff, and M. H. Smith. 1992. Genetic diversity of bacteria along a stream continuum. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 11:269-277.
- Murphy, R. W., J. W. Jr. Sites, D. G. Buth, and C. H. Haufler. 1990. Proteins I: isozyme electrophoresis. pp. 45-126. <u>in</u> Molecular systematics. D. M. Hillis and C. Moritz (eds.). Sinauer Assoc. Inc., Massachusetts.
- Primack, R. B., and J. A. Silander Jr. 1975. Measuring the relative importance of different pollinators to plants. Nature 255:143-144.
- Rogers, J. S. 1972. Measures of genetic similarity and genetic distance. Stud. Genet. Univ. Texas Publ. 7213:145-153.
- Selander, R. K., M. H. Smith, S. Y. Yang, W. E. Johnson, and J. R. Gentry. 1971. Biochemical polymorphism and systematics in the genus <u>Peromyscus</u>. I. Variation in the oldfield mouse (<u>Peromyscus polionotus</u>). Stud. Genet. VI. Univ. Texas Publ. 7103:49-90.

Slatkin, M. 1985. Gene flow in natural populations. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 16:393-430.

Slatkin, M. 1987. Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. Science 236:787-792.

Stiven, A. L., and J. Alderman. 1992. Genetic similarities

among certain freshwater mussel populations of the <u>Lampsilis</u> genus in North Carolina. Malacologia 34:355-369.

- Swofford, D. L., and R. B. Selander. 1981. BIOSYS-1: a Fortran program for the comprehensive analysis of electrophoretic data in population genetics and systematics. J. Heredity 72:281-283.
- Taylor, D. W. 1988. Aspects of freshwater mollusc ecological biogeography. Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol. 62:511-576
- Turgeon, D. D., A. E. Bogan, E. V. Coan, W. K. Emerson, W. G. Lyons, W. L. Pratt, C. F. E. Roper, A. Scheltema, F. G. Thompson, and J. D. Williams. 1988. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the United States and Canada: Mollusks. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. 16.
- Waser, N. M. 1982. A comparison of distances flown by different visitors to flowers of the same plant species. Oecologia 55:251-257.
- Watters, G. T. 1992. Unionids, fishes, and the speciesarea curve. J. Biogeogr. 19:481-490.
- Web, C. J., and K. S. Bawa. 1983. Pollen dispersal by hummingbirds and butterflies: a comparative study of two lowland tropical plants. Evolution 37:1258-1270.
- Wright, S. 1965. The interpretation of population structure by F-statistics with special regard to

systems of mating. Evolution 19:395-420.

Wright, S. 1978. Evolution and the genetics of populations. Vol. 4. Variability within and among natural populations. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago. Zera, A. J. 1981. Genetic structure of two species of waterstriders (Gerridae: Hemiptera) with differing degrees of winglessness. Evolution 35:218-225.

Bunan Sustiman Signature of Graduate Student Elmen J. F. inck <u>Finck</u> Signature of Major Advisor

I, ______Bernard E. Sietman _____, hereby submit this thesis to Emporia State University as partial fulfillment of the requirements of an advanced degree. I agree that the Library of the University may make it available for use in accordance with its regulations governing materials of this type. I further agree that quoting, photocopying, or other reproduction of this document is allowed for private study, scholarship (including teaching), and research purposes of a nonprofit nature. No copying which involves potential financial gain will be allowed without written permission of the author.

Bernard Sisteman Signature of Author 28 April 1993

Date

Ecology and Genetics of Freshwater Mussels in the Neosho and

Cottonwood Rivers.

Title of Thesis Signature of Graduate Office Staff Member nul 29, 1993 Date Received